

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115093
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of pigeonpea genotypes against sterility mosaic disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for breeders on <i>Cajanus cajan</i>.</p> <p>The article's title is in accordance with its content.</p> <p>The article's abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The manuscript's sections and structure can be better.</p> <p>Although the manuscript is scientifically accurate, there are still some important missing details.</p> <p>It is possible to expand the references. Ravuri, P. L., Perneti, G. K., Deva, S., & Jyotsna, M. K. (2013). Assessment of wilt and sterility mosaic disease resistant Pigeonpea variety LRG-105 in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh. <i>situations</i>, 3, 6.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The use of a virus detection technique is not mentioned in the manuscript. It is of great importance to determine the absence or presence of the virus in materials reported as resistant. Asymptomatic infections are common, highlighting the need for thorough and accurate virus detection methods. Implementing such techniques can aid in preventing the spread of viruses and ensuring the safety of individuals and materials. Researchers should prioritize the incorporation of virus detection methods in their work to effectively address and manage potential viral threats.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arnaldo Esquivel-Fariña
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Paraguay