

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112213
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of soil application of cyazypyr 20% SC, New Generation Anthranilic Diamide Insecticide against Jassid (<i>Empoasca flavescens</i>) Ishida on brinjal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript presents valuable insights, and the research conducted in 2013-2014 remains relevant in some aspects. However, considering the rapid advancements in pesticide development over the past decade, including new generations, it might be beneficial to update the findings with more recent research for publication in these days. 2. Yes, I suggest a mor suitable title: "Soil Application of Cyazypyr 20% SC for Jassid (<i>Empoasca flavescens</i>) Ishida Control in Brinjal" 3. Yes, with some corrections i mentioned in the text 4. yes 5. the methodology is well-designed overall, but there are a few potential sources of error in [like citation without discussion, table not cited in the text ..etc.]. 6. The literature review provides a good foundation, but incorporating more recent references (published after 2012) could further strengthen the analysis and ensure it reflects the latest developments in the field. <p>Some new titles:</p> <p>-A Preliminary Analysis on the Insecticidal Effect of Cyantraniliprole against Stored-Product Pests.2022. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12031297</p> <p>- Bio-efficacy of cyazypyr 10% OD, a new anthranilic diamide insecticide, against fruit and shoot borer on Brinjal.2019. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 7(1): 815-818</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The article is clearly written	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is better to replace: MAT by mor clear unit like mg/plot - Provide a more descriptive title for the table like: Number of Jassids per 5 Leaves on Brinjal Following Treatment with Cyazypyr 20% SC at Different Rates - If you Add a column for the mean jassid counts. This would make it easier to compare the overall efficacy of the different treatments 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nisreen Alsaoud
Department, University & Country	Al- Baath University, Syria