

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_115195
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION AND KNOWLEDGE OF RICE VALUE CHAIN ACTORS ON THE EFFECT OF IMPROVED PRACTICES ON YIELD AND MILLING CHARACTERISTICS OF RICE IN THE SAGNARIGU AND KUMBUNGU DISTRICTS OF GHANA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The study gives a new insights to the body of knowledge as it captures the perceptions and attitude of major stakeholders in the production and distribution across the value chain.</p> <p>Yes. The study justify the title aptly.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract clearly state the gist of the investigation.</p> <p>The sub-headings are rightly mentioned and completely justifiable.</p> <p>The author has used the systematic research process for the investigation.</p> <p>As the study is conducted till 2021, the author has referred the recent articles in 2019.</p> <p>The discussion and conclusion need a major rework. The author has just re-iterated the findings again in these sections. Instead, the author needs to interpret the results and discuss strongly citing the similar or dissimilar findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are few typo that can be proofread</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is good but discussion and conclusion is too weak.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Padmalini Singh
Department, University & Country	RV Institute of Management, India