

“Genetic variability analysis for yield and its attributing characters in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) under phosphorus-sufficient and deficient field conditions”

ABSTRACT

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple food of half of the world's population and its production faces challenges in phosphorus-deficient soils. Soil phosphorus availability hinders plant absorption due to low solubility, prompting increased fertilizer use. Hence, there is a need to breed rice cultivars adapted to low phosphorus levels. Therefore, the objectives of the present study are to identify phosphorus deficiency tolerant rice genotypes under both phosphorus-sufficient and deficient conditions. The experiment took place at instructional Farm UBKV, during the Kharif season of 2021. Two kinds of fields (high and low phosphorus content) were selected based on their soil phosphorus availability. 100 diverse rice germplasm were collected and planted in an augmented design along with four local checks namely, Swarna sub-1, MTU 1153, MTU 7029 and Uttar Sona. The data were recorded for 14 characters and the data were subjected to various kinds of diversity analysis. The variability analysis revealed that phosphorus uptake showed a high GCV under phosphorus-deficient condition and high PCV under both conditions. Grain yield showed high GCV and PCV under both phosphorus conditions. Heritability was moderate whereas high Genetic advance as per mean was observed under both the conditions for both phosphorus uptake and grain yield. Strong positive correlations were observed between days to flowering and various yield-related traits under both the phosphorus conditions. Positive correlation was observed between phosphorus uptake and grain yield under phosphorus-sufficient conditions, but a weaker correlation under phosphorus-deficient conditions.

Keywords: Augmented design, Correlation, Diversity, Heritability, Phosphorus

1. INTRODUCTION

The world population is increasing and it is estimated to reach 10 billion by the end of this century [40]. However, the global population has already surpassed 8 billion by mid-November 2022 [50]. It is estimated that we would need 56% more food to feed people by 2050 than in 2010 [31]. Therefore, it is important to increase food production to feed the growing population in upcoming years to ensure food security for the next generations. Rice

(*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the major staple food for more than 50% of the world's population and an essential cereal crop for global food security [36]. Asia produces and consumes almost 90% of the world's rice [8]. India ranked second with more than 135 million metric tons of milled rice production [42]. However, the current production of rice grains is insufficient for a few years to come, and it must be increased at any cost. A variety of biotic and abiotic stresses affect rice production at every stage of the crop, making it difficult to increase production. One such barrier that affects the growth and development of rice is low nutrient availability in the soil [14].

Among the macronutrients, phosphorus (P) is a crucial nutrient in ensuring world and Indian food security [35]. Phosphorous is an essential component of nucleic acids, enzymes, cell membranes, and biological activities such as photosynthesis, energy production, metabolism of carbohydrates, and signaling[1].Its absence from the soil might result in stunted growth, lower productivity, and generally weak plants [32]. The plant roots mostly take up phosphorus from the soil as H_2PO_4 or HPO_4^{2-} . The presence of phosphorus in the soil in the form of orthophosphate or inorganic phosphate inhibits plant absorption due to its low solubility and immobility [26]. Due to this, farmers are compelled to use additional phosphorus fertilizer to minimize the phosphate deficit, which raises the need for more fertilizer imports. Significant concerns arise over the availability of non-renewable rock phosphate sources in the future, which may affect the price and supply of P fertilizer [45]. Hence, there is a need to breed rice cultivars adapted to low phosphorus levels. Several nations have effectively screened rice genotypes for low phosphorus tolerance, and P-efficient genotypes can be utilized as parents in breeding programs [49], [11]. However, as locally available germplasm differs from the germplasms utilized in thoseresearch in terms of variability, the information obtained from studies conducted in other countries cannot be directly applied to local breeding effort [5]. Hence, finding the genetic characteristics that allow rice plants to perform well in low-phosphorus conditions involves screening local rice genotypes and landraces for tolerance to low-phosphorus conditions. With this background, the purpose of this study is to assess the genetic variability of the morpho-phenetic characteristics of 100 rice genotypes, including landraces and cultivars, in both phosphorus-sufficient and deficient field conditions to improve rice varieties under *Terai-agro* climatic condition.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

The present study was carried out at the Agricultural Instructional Farm, UBKV, Pundibari, Cooch Behar during the Kharif season of 2021. The experimental material for the present study comprised 100 rice genotypes (Table 1) withfourchecks(C)viz.,Swarna Sub-1 (Check-1),), MTU 1153 (Check-2) MTU7029(Check-3), and UttarSona(Check-4),were collected from the Agricultural Instructional Farm, Uttar BangaKrishi Vishwavidyalaya. Total

available phosphorus was estimated in soils obtained from several fields. Two fields were chosen based on the availability of phosphorus i.e., one with a low phosphorus content (> 8 kg/ha) and the other with a high phosphorus content (>22 kg/ha). Rice seedlings were planted in both fields in ten blocks containing ten genotypes in which only four checks were replicated on each block, and observations were recorded as appropriate. The data were recorded for 14 traits such as days to 50% flowering, days to 100% flowering, plant height (cm), tillers per plant, flag leaf length (cm), flag leaf width (cm), panicles per plant, panicle length (cm), grains per panicle, spikelets per panicle, test weight (g), dry shoot weight (g/plant), phosphorus uptake (mg/plant) and grain yield (g/plant). Phosphorus concentration was estimated on flag leaf using tri acid digestion - ammonium metavanadate method [41]. Variability analysis was performed on both the field data separately. The data were recorded for five plants in each of the genotypes along with the checks and their means were used in statistical analysis using the *Augmented RCBD* package in R studio version 4.3.1. The Pearson correlation was computed in the *Metan* package in R Studio version 4.3.1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance

The ANOVA for augmented design for both the phosphorous conditions concerning treatment adjusted were given in Table 2. The ANOVA of augmented design for both the phosphorous conditions concerning block adjusted were given in Table 3. The observed significant variance in these characteristics among the rice genotypes under phosphorus-deficient conditions implies that these genotypes may be attributed to various sources of tolerance, such as tolerance without phosphorus uptake genes, as well as recognized sources of tolerance, such as the presence of phosphorus uptake genes. The findings of this study show that, in phosphorus-deficient conditions, among all the 14 characters, there is variation in test weight, dry shoot weight and grain yield among the genotypes. This suggests that some of the genotypes could be used as donors to improve rice cultivars under phosphorus deficient soil. There is no much difference between the phosphorus sufficient and deficient condition.

Table 1. List of 104 rice genotypes under study

| Sl.No | Genotypes | Sl.No. | Genotypes | Sl.No | Genotypes | S.No | Genotypes | Sl.No | Genotypes | Sl.No | Genotypes | Sl.No | Genotypes |
|-------|---------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|---------------------|------|-------------|-------|---------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---------------|
| 1 | Naveen | 16 | Chamaramani | 31 | Radhatilak | 46 | BPT 5204 | 61 | NLR 33358 | 76 | TKM 13 | 91 | Uttar Lakshmi |
| 2 | Jayamati | 17 | Dehradun Gandeshwari | 32 | Radhunipagal | 47 | Indul Shall | 62 | NLR 33671 | 77 | Govindabhog | 92 | MTU 1140 |
| 3 | ChekaoSempak | 18 | Dudeswar | 33 | TulaipANJI | 48 | RP BIO 226 | 63 | NLR 34242 | 78 | Kalojira | 93 | MTU 1172 |
| 4 | Ranjendra Bhagavati | 19 | Dudeswar-1 | 34 | Zugal | 49 | MTU 1010 | 64 | NLR 34449 | 79 | Pual Sali | 94 | MTU 1121 |
| 5 | Manipur Black Rice | 20 | Jhara | 35 | Padmini | 50 | Puspa | 65 | NLR 40024 | 80 | Sial Negia Sali | 95 | MTU 1001 |
| 6 | Ashnni Boro | 21 | JP-120 | 36 | Geetanjali | 51 | Basmati 386 | 66 | NLR 40054 | 81 | Dhan Sali | 96 | BB I |
| 7 | SheetaBhog | 22 | Kalonunia | 37 | ketekijoha | 52 | RNR 19186 | 67 | NLR 40058 | 82 | Paolum Sali | 97 | BB II |
| 8 | Shanti Bhog | 23 | Kakri | 38 | CR Sugandh Dhan 907 | 53 | BPT 2295 | 68 | NLR 40065 | 83 | Kala Mala Sali | 98 | GB 3 |
| 9 | AC 35014 | 24 | Kamal | 39 | CR Sugandh Dhan 908 | 54 | NLR 145 | 69 | ADT 43 | 84 | Hari Powar Sali | 99 | IR 36 |
| 10 | Lalna Kanada-41 | 25 | KattariBhog | 40 | CR Sugandh Dhan 909 | 55 | NLR 3041 | 70 | ADR (R) 45 | 85 | Tati Sali | 100 | Jamuna |
| 11 | Bipasha | 26 | Khara | 41 | GangavatiAgeti | 56 | NLR 3083 | 71 | ADT (R)46 | 86 | kanaklata | C1 | Swarna Sub-1 |
| 12 | Balam | 27 | Khalia Aush | 42 | Satabdi | 57 | NLR 3217 | 72 | ASD 16 | 87 | Mashuri | C2 | MTU 1153 |
| 13 | Baram Shall | 28 | Khalia Eulo | 43 | Parijat | 58 | NLR 3354 | 73 | CR 1009 | 88 | Satyaranjan | C3 | MTU 7029 |
| 14 | Baskathi | 29 | Kerala Sundari | 44 | Konark | 59 | NLR 4001 | 74 | CR 1009 Sub-1 | 89 | Ranjit | C4 | Uttar Sona |
| 15 | Basmati | 30 | Patnai | 45 | Saket-4 | 60 | NLR 30491 | 75 | CO 51 | 90 | Banga Bandhu (White) | | |

Table2. ANOVA for yield attributing characters for phosphorus sufficient and deficient field condition (Treatment adjusted)

| Source | Df | Days to 50% flowering | | Days to 100% flowering | | Plant height (cm) | | No. of productive tillers | | Flag leaf length (cm) | | Flag leaf width (cm) | | No. of panicles per plant | |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ |
| Block (ignoring Treatments) | 9 | 568.34 ^{**} | 607.44 | 645.85 | 742.92 ^{**} | 4465.34 ^{**} | 4433.13 | 8.5 ^{**} | 42.31 ^{**} | 5.7 [*] | 2.03 ^{**} | 0.34 ^{**} | 0.07 ^{**} | 16.7 ^{**} | 47.2 [*] |
| Treatment (eliminating Blocks) | 103 | 235.5 | 259.98 ^{**} | 243.44 ^{**} | 256.12 ^{**} | 1130.42 ^{**} | 1194.77 ^{**} | 13 ^{**} | 35.27 | 8.48 | 9.05 ^{**} | 0.24 [*] | 0.23 | 18.23 ^{**} | 20.09 ^{**} |
| Treatment: Check | 3 | 1937.7 ^{**} | 2534.17 ^{**} | 1803.62 ^{**} | 1784.17 ^{**} | 775.76 | 784.04 ^{**} | 11.77 ^{**} | 45.93 ^{**} | 10.67 ^{**} | 16.82 | 0.16 | 0.14 ^{**} | 49.7 ^{**} | 4.04 [*] |
| Treatment: Test and Test vs. Check | 100 | 184.43 ^{**} | 191.75 ^{**} | 196.64 ^{**} | 210.28 ^{**} | 1141.06 ^{**} | 1207.09 ^{**} | 13.04 [*] | 34.95 ^{**} | 8.42 | 8.82 [*] | 0.04 [*] | 0.03 | 17.29 | 20.58 ^{**} |
| Residuals | 27 | 0.26 | 29.69 | 23.87 | 0.43 | 28.85 | 92.69 | 15.42 | 38.95 | 3.61 | 7.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 28.39 | 3.34 |

Significant at 5% probability level, ^{**} Significant at 1% probability level, P⁺ - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P⁻ - Phosphorus deficient field condition

Table 2. (Continued)

| Source | Df | Panicle length (cm) | | No. of grains per panicle | | No. of spikelet per panicle | | Test weight (g) | | Dry shoot weight (g/plant) | | Phosphorus uptake (mg/plant) | | Grain yield (g/plant) | |
|------------------------------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ |
| Block (ignoring Treatments) | 9 | 3.4 | 7.44 | 244.08 ^{**} | 340.34 ^{**} | 270.04 ^{**} | 330.19 ^{**} | 22.2 ^{**} | 21.1 [*] | 296.18 ^{**} | 219.63 ^{**} | 1.06 [*] | 3.8 ^{**} | 108.66 ^{**} | 264.07 ^{**} |
| Treatment (eliminating Blocks) | 103 | 3.13 ^{**} | 7.77 | 454.78 ^{**} | 930.44 ^{**} | 485.98 ^{**} | 977.99 | 19.35 ^{**} | 19.6 [*] | 123.03 ^{**} | 53.18 ^{**} | 1.41 ^{**} | 1.22 [*] | 192.09 ^{**} | 229.23 |
| Treatment: Check | 3 | 1.36 [*] | 1.35 [*] | 714.28 ^{**} | 972.05 ^{**} | 789.56 ^{**} | 1422.11 ^{**} | 6.42 [*] | 9.53 [*] | 17.88 | 59.22 [*] | 0.75 [*] | 0.79 ^{**} | 236.11 ^{**} | 257.66 ^{**} |
| Treatment: Test and Test vs. Check | 100 | 3.18 ^{**} | 7.96 ^{**} | 446.99 | 929.19 ^{**} | 476.87 ^{**} | 964.67 ^{**} | 19.74 ^{**} | 19.9 | 126.18 ^{**} | 53.00 ^{**} | 1.43 ^{**} | 1.23 [*] | 190.77 ^{**} | 228.37 ^{**} |
| Residuals | 27 | 2.99 | 5.35 | 468.08 | 267.86 | 619.25 | 380.46 | 4.46 | 4.06 | 105.01 | 35.07 | 0.41 | 1.02 | 78.78 | 106.56 |

* Significant at 5% probability level, ^{**} Significant at 1% probability level, P⁺ - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P⁻ - Phosphorus deficient field condition

Table 3. ANOVA for yield attributing characters for phosphorus sufficient and deficient field condition (Block adjusted)

| Source | Df | Days to 50% flowering | | Days to 100% flowering | | Plant height (cm) | | No. of productive tillers | | Flag leaf length (cm) | | Flag leaf width (cm) | | No. of panicles per plant | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ |
| Treatment (ignoring Blocks) | 103 | 285.07** | 302.07 | 297.94** | 321.00** | 1442.82 | 1515.83** | 12.96** | 37.05** | 8.56 | 8.47 [†] | 0.05** | 0.03 | 18.16** | 23.27** |
| Treatment: Check | 3 | 1937.7** | 2534.17** | 1803.62 | 1784.17** | 775.76** | 784.04** | 11.77** | 45.93** | 10.67** | 16.82 | 0.36** | 0.07 [†] | 49.7 | 4.04 [†] |
| Treatment: Test vs. Check | 99 | 234.13** | 235.5** | 241.28** | 262.57 | 1285.1** | 1282.02 | 13.13** | 36.92 | 8.44** | 8.26** | 0.05** | 0.03 [†] | 16.79 | 23.95 |
| Treatment: Test | 1 | 370.29 | 196.13 | 1390.02 | 1716.07** | 19058.11** | 26858.2** | 2.23** | 23.14** | 14.26 | 4.22 [†] | 0.54** | 0.10 | 59.33 [†] | 13.96** |
| Block (eliminating Treatments) | 9 | 1.01 | 125.77** | 22.12 | 0.43 | 890.2 | 758.79 | 8.99 | 21.89 | 4.76 | 8.71** | 0.04 | 0.02 [†] | 17.45 | 10.81 |
| Residuals | 27 | 0.26 | 129.69 | 23.87 | 0.43 | 928.85 | 892.69 | 15.42 | 38.95 | 3.61 | 7.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 28.39 | 3.34 |

* Significant at 5% probability level, ** Significant at 1% probability level, P⁺ - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P⁻ - Phosphorus deficient field condition

Table 3. (Continued)

| Source | Df | Panicle length (cm) | | No. of grains per panicle | | No. of spikelet per panicle | | Test weight (g) | | Dry shoot weight (g/plant) | | Phosphorus uptake (mg/plant) | | Grain yield (g/plant) | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ | P ⁺ | P ⁻ |
| Treatment (ignoring Blocks) | 103 | 3.00** | 7.36** | 456.65** | 928.12** | 494.21** | 954.77** | 20.77** | 20.86** | 133.5** | 65.07** | 1.43** | 1.48** | 190.38** | 234.1** |
| Treatment: Check | 3 | 1.36 [†] | 1.35 [†] | 714.28** | 972.05** | 789.56** | 1422.11** | 6.42 [†] | 9.53 [†] | 17.88 [†] | 59.22** | 0.75 [†] | 0.79 [†] | 236.11 | 257.66** |
| Treatment: Test vs. Check | 99 | 2.62 [†] | 7.49** | 452.02** | 890.9 | 489.69** | 895.5** | 13.34** | 12.7 | 136.46** | 65.83** | 1.41** | 1.51** | 173.36** | 210.07 |
| Treatment: Test | 1 | 45.83** | 12.84 | 142.72 [†] | 4481.45** | 56.23 [†] | 5420.66** | 798.79** | 863.19** | 187.9 | 7.19 [†] | 5.3 | 0.26** | 1738.29** | 2542.6** |
| Block (eliminating Treatments) | 9 | 4.84** | 12.09** | 222.6 | 366.89** | 175.82 | 595.91** | 5.95 | 6.59 [†] | 176.29** | 83.57** | 0.78 [†] | 0.83 [†] | 128.18** | 208.3** |
| Residuals | 27 | 2.99 | 5.35 | 468.08 | 267.86 | 619.25 | 380.46 | 4.46 | 4.06 | 105.01 | 35.07 | 0.41 | 1.02 | 78.78 | 106.56 |

* Significant at 5% probability level, ** Significant at 1% probability level, P⁺ - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P⁻ - Phosphorus deficient field condition

Similarly,[33]found that most of the lines under the phosphorus-deficient condition did not differ from the phosphorus-sufficient condition while selecting rice lines using pedigree selection.

Mean performance of rice genotypes

When comparing the overall mean value between the both phosphorus condition (Table 4),genotypes grown under phosphorus-deficient condition flowered later of at least two days than genotypes grown under phosphorus-sufficient condition. For [44], some of the genotypes did not even flower under a low phosphorus plot. This is a result of the plants not being phosphorus starved under typical soil phosphorus circumstances and their early acquisition of enough phosphorus due to sufficient soil phosphorus.The overall mean showed a reduction for most of the characters under the phosphorus deficient condition than the phosphorus sufficient condition. Similar to the present study, [44] found a reduction in plant height, no. of productive tillers, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, and panicle length under phosphorus-deficient condition. According to [10], plant height is significantly influenced by phosphorus availability which is due to the high correlation between tissue expansion rate and tissue growth zone phosphorus status [24]. Under low phosphorus condition, a slower rate of tissue development could be due to a shorter region of cell division with lower cell production rates [6]. As a result, the plant and its parts could grow more slowly.According to [17] and [18] biomass and test weight are significant yield components in rice. With this context, in the present study, the rice genotype, Manipur black rice exhibited the highest mean value of 28.90 g and Baram Shall showed the highest dry shoot weight of 46.40 (g/plant) under phosphorus deficient condition. Both Manipur black rice and Baram Shall are landraces and landraces are characterized by determinant tillering capacity, low yield and less number of tillers with large diameters. According to [22], photosynthetic rates in landraces were greater and corresponded with higher biomass, but they were unable to increase yield since tillering capacity is a determining factor.

The goal of breeding has always been to create cultivars that yield well even in conditions of low soil phosphorus levels [46]. Previous studies by [16], [48], [15] and [44] used the phosphorus content in different plant tissues as an indicator of poor soil phosphorus sensitivity or tolerance. In the present study, it is clearly indicated that there is a overall difference in phosphorus uptake in plant leaf cells among the genotypes under both the condition. Obviously, phosphorus uptake is more under phosphorus sufficient condition than the phosphorus deficient condition. The rice genotype, Pak. Basmati showed highest phosphorus uptake under phosphorus sufficient condition and Rajendra Bhagavathi exhibited high phosphorus uptake under phosphorus deficient condition. Therefore, it is suggested that

Table 4. Highest and lowest mean performance of 104 rice genotypes.

| Characters | Phosphorus sufficient field condition | | Phosphorus deficient field condition | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Highest | Lowest | Highest | Lowest |
| Days to 50% flowering | CO 51 | Ketekijoha | Shanti bhog, CO51 | Kala Mala Sali |
| Days to 100% flowering | CO 51 | Ketekijoha | CO 51 | CR SugandhDhan 909 |
| Plant height (cm) | CO 51 | RP BIO 226 | CO 51 | RP BIO 226 |
| Tillers per plant | Pok. Basmati | Khalia Aush | BPT 5204 | Khalia Aush |
| Flag leaf length (cm) | ASD 16 | Kerala Sundari | BPT 2295 | Bipasha |
| Flag leaf width (cm) | CR Sugandh Dhan 907 | Jamuna, Kanaklata | Kamal | NLR 30491 |
| Panicles per plant | CR 1009 sub-1 | Khalia Aush | CR 1009 Sub-1 | NLR 40054 |
| Panicle length (cm) | MTU 1121 | Kanaklata | Shanti Bhog | Kanaklata |
| Grains per panicle | BPT 5204 | NLR 33358 | TKM 13 | Khalia Aush |
| Spikelets per panicle | BPT 5204 | MTU 1172 | Dehradun Gandheshwari | Khalia Aush |
| Test weight (g) | Manipur black rice | Patnai | Manipur Black Rice | ASD 16 |
| Dry shoot weight (g/plant) | Pok. Basmati | Uttar Lakshmi | Baram shall | NLR 33358 |
| Phosphorus uptake (mg/plant) | Pok. Basmati | MTU 1121 | Rajendra Bhagavati | BB II |
| Grain yield (g/plant) | MTU 1010 | Konark | MTU 1010 | Khalia Aush |

genotypes such as Rajendra Bhagavathi which exhibited highest phosphorus uptake among all the genotypes can be used to develop new rice varieties with improved phosphorus deficiency tolerance.

Genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation

The degree of variability contained in the available genotypes can be determined using the parameters of the genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV and PCV). Genetic advancement and heritability are useful in assessing how the environment affects a character's expression and how much improvement is achievable following selection [34]. The genetic variability analysis for both the phosphorus conditions are given in Table 5.

Characters like panicles per plant and grain yield showed high GCV and PCV in both phosphorus-sufficient and -deficient circumstances. This suggests that there is a broad range of genetic variability for these characteristics in the germplasm. This also showed that these characteristics have a wide genetic basis, are mostly unaffected by the environment, and are controlled by additive genes. As a result, there is a significant chance that these characteristics will be further enhanced by selection. High GCV and PCV for panicles per plant and grain yield were also reported by [25],[9],[13]and [28].

Moderate levels of GCV and PCV were shown by traits like days to flowering, plant height, number of grains per panicle, and test weight. However, there were not many changes in the GCV and PCV. Environmental influences had a smaller impact on the traits under study, hence phenotypic performance-based selection will be reliable. Traits such as productive tillers per plant, dry shoot weight, and phosphorus uptake exhibited moderate GCV under phosphorus-sufficient conditions but high GCV under phosphorus-deficient conditions. This indicates direct selection will be rewarded for these characters under phosphorus deficient condition. Similarly, traits such as tillers per plant, grains per panicle, spikelets per panicle, and dry shoot weight exhibited moderate PCV under phosphorus-sufficient conditions but high PCV under phosphorus-deficient conditions. Similar kind of differences in GCV and PCV between different conditions were also reported by [30] between normal and heat stress conditions in wheat genotypes and by [39] between optimal and deficit irrigation conditions in upland cotton.

Heritability [Broad sense (h^2_{bs})] and genetic advance as mean

While the selection is based on characteristics that contribute to yield, heritability and genetic advancement are significant selection factors. Genetic advancement combined with heritability estimates usually results in a more precise estimation of the gain under selection

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 5. Variability analysis for phosphorous sufficient and deficient field condition

| Characters | GCV | GCV. category | GCV | GCV. category | PCV | PCV. category | PCV | PCV. category | h^2_{bs} | h^2_{bs} category | h^2_{bs} | h^2_{bs} category | GAM | GAM. category | GAM | GAM. category |
|------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| | P+ | | P- | | P+ | | P- | | P+ | | P- | | P+ | | P- | |
| DFF | 14.32 | Medium | 9.52 | Low | 14.33 | Medium | 14.20 | Medium | 99.89 | High | 44.93 | Medium | 29.52 | High | 13.16 | Medium |
| DHF | 13.19 | Medium | 14.21 | Medium | 13.89 | Medium | 14.23 | Medium | 90.11 | High | 99.84 | High | 25.82 | High | 29.30 | High |
| PH | 13.77 | Medium | 13.95 | Medium | 26.14 | High | 25.32 | High | 27.72 | Low | 30.37 | Medium | 14.95 | Medium | 15.86 | Medium |
| NPT | 15.46 | Medium | 34.76 | High | 18.39 | Medium | 36.68 | High | 36.14 | Medium | 20.48 | Low | 22.50 | High | 46.18 | High |
| FLL | 8.53 | Low | 3.92 | Low | 11.27 | Medium | 11.09 | Medium | 57.24 | Medium | 12.51 | Low | 47.66 | Medium | 2.86 | Low |
| FLW | 11.42 | Medium | 6.20 | Low | 14.64 | Medium | 12.00 | Medium | 60.84 | High | 26.71 | Low | 18.38 | Medium | 6.61 | Low |
| NPP | 25.47 | High | 35.64 | High | 29.44 | High | 38.42 | High | 70.26 | High | 86.04 | High | 12.87 | Medium | 68.20 | High |
| PL | 5.28 | Medium | 6.64 | Low | 6.98 | Low | 12.44 | Medium | 86.34 | High | 28.48 | Low | 33.42 | High | 7.31 | Low |
| NGP | 12.48 | Medium | 19.43 | Medium | 15.83 | Medium | 23.24 | High | 67.92 | High | 69.93 | High | 58.94 | High | 33.52 | High |
| NSP | 13.15 | Medium | 15.25 | Medium | 13.42 | Medium | 20.11 | High | 48.66 | Medium | 57.51 | Medium | 12.30 | Medium | 23.86 | High |
| TW | 16.36 | Medium | 16.71 | Medium | 20.05 | High | 20.26 | High | 66.59 | High | 67.99 | High | 27.54 | High | 28.42 | High |
| DSW | 12.41 | Medium | 23.73 | High | 25.84 | High | 34.71 | High | 23.05 | Low | 46.72 | Medium | 12.29 | Medium | 33.46 | High |
| PU | 19.39 | Medium | 25.12 | High | 23.07 | High | 44.18 | High | 70.68 | High | 32.32 | Medium | 33.63 | High | 29.46 | High |
| GY | 29.85 | High | 34.65 | High | 40.41 | High | 49.37 | High | 54.56 | Medium | 49.27 | Medium | 45.48 | High | 50.18 | High |

P* - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P* - Phosphorus deficient condition, PV - Phenotypic variance; EV - Environmental variance; GV-Genotypic variance; PCV - Phenotypic coefficient of variance; GCV - Genotypic coefficient of variance; ECV - Environmental coefficient of variance; h^2_{bs} - Heritability in broad sense; GA-Genetic advance; GAM - Genetic advance as mean; , DFF – Days to 50% of flowering; DHF - Days to 100% of flowering; PH-Plant height (cm); NPT-No. of productive tillers per plant; FLL – Flag leaf length (cm); FLW – Flag leaf width (cm); NPP- No. of panicles per plant; PL-Panicle length (cm); NGP – No. of grains per panicle; NSP- No. of spikelets per panicle; TW- Test weight (g); DSW – Dry shoot weight (g); PU-Phosphorus uptake (mg/plant); GY- grain yield (g/plant)

than heritability estimates alone. Under both phosphorus conditions, high heritability (broad sense) was noted for characters such as days to flowering, panicles per plant, grains per panicle and test weight. High heritability values suggest that the environment has less of an impact on the expression of these characters. Therefore, by using direct selection techniques, the plant breeder can confidently base his selection on the phenotypic expression of these features in the specific plant in question. High heritability for days to flowering, panicles per plant, grains per panicle and test weight was also noted by [4],[20],[43] and [3] in rice.

Moderate heritability was exhibited by characters like spikelets per panicle and grain yield. Similar findings also reported by [4],[37] and [2]. Characters exhibiting moderate heritability can be still used for rice improvement. Tillers per plant and flag leaf length showed moderate heritability under phosphorus-sufficient condition but low heritability under phosphorus-deficient conditions. This indicates direct phenotypic selection of based on these characters may mislead the future progress. According to [23], heritability combined with genetic advancement would provide a more accurate estimate of selection value. High genetic advance was observed under both the phosphorus conditions for characters like days to flowering, tillers per plant, grains per panicle, test weight, phosphorus uptake, and grain yield. Among these, days to flowering and phosphorus uptake showed high heritability under phosphorus-sufficient condition and moderate heritability under phosphorus-deficient condition. This indicates, that these two characters have low environmental impact and selection may be rewarded in the further improvement in phosphorus deficiency tolerance in rice. Similarly, Moderate genetic advance was shown by plant height under both conditions. Panicles per plant, number of spikelet per panicle, and dry shoot weight exhibited moderate genetic advance under phosphorus-sufficient conditions but high genetic advance under phosphorus-deficient conditions.

Phosphorus uptake and grain yield showed high variability and high to moderate heritability under both phosphorus-sufficient and deficient conditions. Genetic advance as a mean was high for phosphorus uptake and grain yield under both conditions, indicating the potential for genetic improvement of these traits through breeding. Therefore, rice cultivars with better performance in low-phosphorus conditions should be developed through breeding efforts aimed at improving phosphorus uptake efficiency and grain yield under phosphorus deficit.

Correlation analysis

The result of Pearson correlation analysis for both phosphorous sufficient and deficient conditions are given in Table 6. Under both phosphorus-sufficient and deficient conditions, a strong positive correlation was exhibited between days to 50% flowering and days to 100% flowering, indicating that genotypes with earlier flowering at 50% also tend to complete flowering earlier. Similarly, under both conditions, there was a strong positive correlation between the tillers per plant and grain yield, suggesting that genotypes with more tillers typically yield more grains. A similar kind of correlation was also observed by [38], [7], [47] and [21]. A novel concept about plant varieties is replacing the traditional belief that high-tillering rice plants give higher yields. To increase yields, tillering optimization is more crucial [27]. However, [29] stated, a higher percentage of heavier grains are produced by genotypes with fewer tillers. According to [12], there will be less yield loss under low-phosphorus conditions in rice cultivars with a large sink size and high tillering capacity.

Under phosphorus-sufficient condition, there was a significant positive correlation between phosphorus uptake and grain yield, indicating that higher phosphorus uptake leads to higher grain yields. However, under phosphorus-deficient conditions, although there was a positive correlation between phosphorus uptake and other characteristics, there was no significant correlation between phosphorus uptake and grain yield. The difference may be due to limiting availability of phosphorus which may diminish its direct impact on grain yield, resulting in weaker correlations. The availability of phosphorus in soil limits the plant [19]. Similarly, under phosphorus-sufficient condition, several characters such as test weight, dry shoot weight, and panicles per plant showed significant positive correlations with grain yield. However, under phosphorus-deficient conditions, these associations were weaker or non-significant.

4. Conclusion

Significant variation was observed between genotypes under both the phosphorus conditions. The rice genotype, Rajendra Bhagavathi, exhibited highest phosphorus uptake under phosphorus deficient condition which implies its potential in developing cultivar with improved phosphorus deficiency tolerance. Emphasize breeding for phosphorus uptake efficiency under phosphorus-deficient conditions to improve phosphorus utilization by plants. Grain yield displays considerable genetic and phenotypic variability irrespective of phosphorus availability. This suggests that focus on enhancing grain yield potential by selecting genotypes with high genetic variability and superior performance under both phosphorus conditions. Moderate heritability and high Consider indirect selection for traits

positively correlated with grain yield, such as days to flowering, to improve overall performance under varying phosphorus availability.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 6. Pearson's correlation coefficient for both phosphorous sufficient and deficient field condition

| Traits | P level | DFF | DHF | PH | NPT | FLL | FLW | NPP | PL | NGP | NSP | TW | DSW | PU | GY |
|--------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----|
| DFF | P ⁺ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DHF | P ⁺ | 0.980 ^{**} | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.919 ^{**} | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PH | P ⁺ | 0.311 ^{**} | 0.280 ^{**} | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.294 | 0.298 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NPT | P ⁺ | 0.240 | 0.262 | 0.149 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.115 | 0.122 | -0.081 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLL | P ⁺ | -0.093 | -0.108 | 0.116 | -0.108 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | -0.023 | 0.086 | 0.042 | 0.066 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| FLW | P ⁺ | 0.300 | 0.349 | 0.168 | 0.124 | 0.066 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.228 | 0.214 | 0.212 [*] | -0.090 | -0.083 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| NPP | P ⁺ | 0.166 | 0.192 | 0.094 | 0.590 ^{**} | -0.108 | 0.042 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.196 | 0.250 | -0.036 | 0.579 ^{**} | -0.017 | 0.023 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| PL | P ⁺ | 0.173 | 0.203 | 0.227 | 0.050 | -0.069 | 0.212 | -0.056 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.254 | 0.174 | 0.109 ^{**} | 0.002 | 0.152 | 0.065 ^{**} | -0.125 | 1 | | | | | | |
| NGP | P ⁺ | 0.034 ^{**} | 0.069 ^{**} | 0.086 ^{**} | 0.067 | -0.001 | 0.111 | 0.054 | 0.104 | 1 | | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.014 | 0.040 | -0.112 | 0.191 [*] | 0.099 | -0.209 | 0.140 [*] | 0.017 | 1 | | | | | |
| NSP | P ⁺ | 0.031 ^{**} | 0.070 ^{**} | 0.036 ^{**} | 0.058 | -0.001 | 0.157 | 0.026 | 0.075 | 0.951 ^{**} | 1 | | | | |
| | P ⁻ | -0.022 | -0.002 | -0.137 | 0.219 ^{**} | 0.040 | -0.211 | 0.121 [*] | -0.038 | 0.943 ^{**} | 1 | | | | |
| TW | P ⁺ | -0.031 | -0.090 | 0.018 | 0.220 ^{**} | -0.057 | -0.250 | 0.238 | -0.264 | -0.057 | -0.097 | 1 | | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.039 | -0.045 | 0.052 | 0.032 | 0.112 | 0.019 | -0.028 | -0.050 | 0.023 | -0.063 | 1 | | | |
| DSW | P ⁺ | 0.045 | 0.066 | 0.139 | 0.623 ^{**} | -0.023 | -0.067 | 0.461 | -0.118 | 0.097 | 0.063 | 0.130 | 1 | | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.066 | 0.034 | 0.214 [*] | -0.102 | 0.014 | 0.060 [*] | -0.166 | 0.121 [*] | -0.027 | -0.030 | 0.111 | 1 | | |
| PU | P ⁺ | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.135 | 0.366 ^{**} | -0.174 | -0.043 | 0.355 | -0.054 | -0.101 | -0.131 | 0.042 | 0.521 | 1 | |
| | P ⁻ | 0.199 | 0.123 | 0.127 [*] | -0.008 | -0.056 | 0.047 [*] | -0.103 | 0.127 ^{**} | -0.043 | -0.009 | 0.018 | 0.741 ^{**} | 1 | |
| GY | P ⁺ | 0.171 | 0.175 | 0.129 | 0.646 ^{**} | -0.178 | 0.044 | 0.562 ^{**} | 0.020 | 0.439 | 0.397 | 0.561 ^{**} | 0.472 ^{**} | 0.763 ^{**} | 1 |
| | P ⁻ | 0.234 | 0.154 | 0.365 | 0.125 [*] | 0.213 | 0.359 | 0.214 [*] | 0.268 | 0.134 [*] | 0.137 [*] | 0.147 | 0.235 | 0.258 | 1 |

P⁺ - Phosphorus sufficient field condition; P⁻ - Phosphorus deficient condition, DFF – Days to 50% of flowering; DHF - Days to 100% of flowering; PH-Plant height (cm); NPT-No. of productive tillers per plant; FLL – Flag leaf length (cm); FLW – Flag leaf width (cm); NPP- No. of panicle per plant; PL-Panicle length (cm); NGP – No. of grains per panicle; NSP- No. of spikelet per panicle; TW- Test weight (g); DSW – Dry shoot weight (g); PU-Phosphorus uptake (mg/plant); GY- grain yield (g/plant)

8. Disclaimer

The authors have stated that there are no conflicting interests. In our country and research location, the products utilized for this study are widely and often used. Since our goal is to enhance knowledge rather than use these products as a means of litigation, there is zero conflict of interest between the writers and the makers of the items. Additionally, the writers' own funds were used to fund the research rather than the producing corporation.

References

1. Abbas S, Javed MT, Ali Q, Azeem M, Ali S. Nutrient deficiency stress and relation with plant growth and development. In Engineering tolerance in crop plants against abiotic stress. CRC Press. 2021; 239-262.
2. Abebe T, Alamerew S, Tulu L. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its related traits in rainfed lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at Fogera and Pawe, Ethiopia. Advances in crop Science and Technology. 2017;5(2):272.
3. Adhikari BN, Joshi BP, Shrestha J, Bhatta NR. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation among yield and yield components of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2018;1(1):149-60.
4. Akinwale MG, Gregorio G, Nwilene F, Akinyele BO, Ogunbayo SA, Odiyi AC. Heritability and correlation coefficient analysis for yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). African Journal of plant science. 2011; 5(3):207-12.
5. Aluwihare YC, Ishan M, Chamikara D, Weebadde K, Sirisena DN, Samarasinghe WL, Sooriyapathirana SD. Characterization and selection of phosphorus deficiency tolerant rice genotypes in Sri Lanka. Rice Science, 2016; 23(4):184-95.
6. Assuero SG, Mollier A, Pellerin S. The decrease in growth of phosphorus deficient maize leaves is related to a lower cell production. Plant Cell Environ. 2004; 27:887–895
7. Augustina UA, Iwunor OP, Ijeoma OR. Heritability and character correlation among some rice genotypes for yield and yield components. Journal of plant breeding and Genetics. 2013;1(2):73-84.
8. Bandumula N. Rice production in Asia: Key to global food security. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences. 2018; 88:1323-8.

9. Behera B, Sahu S, Kar RK, Pandey RK. Studies on genetic variability for some metric traits in slender grain rice genotypes. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2018;10(1):375-8.
10. Cancellier EL, Brandao DR, Silva J, Santos MM, Fidelis RR. Phosphorus use efficiency of upland rice cultivars on Cerrado soil. *Ambience*. 2012; 8(2):307–318
11. Chin JH, Gamuyao R, Dalid C, Bustamam M, Prasetiyono J, Moeljopawiro S, Wissuwa M, Heuer S. Developing rice with high yield under phosphorus deficiency: Pup1 sequence to application. *Plant Physiol*. 2011; 156: 1202 – 1216.
12. Deng Y, Men C, Qiao S, Wang W, Gu J, Liu L, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Wang Z, Yang J. Tolerance to low phosphorus in rice varieties is conferred by regulation of root growth. *The Crop Journal*. 2020; 8(4):534-47.
13. Dey P, Sahu S, Kar RK. Estimation of Phenotypic Coefficients of Variation (PCV), Genotypic Coefficients of Variation (GCV), Heritability and Genetic Gain for Yield and its Components in Rice Landraces of Odisha. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*. 2019;12(3):181-5.
14. Dobermann A and Fairhurst T. Rice: Nutrient disorders & nutrient management. *Int. Rice Res. Inst.*; 2000.
15. Fageria NK, Knupp A. Upland rice phenology and nutrient uptake in tropical climate. *J Plant Nutr*. 2013; 36:1–14
16. Fageria NK, Morais OP, Baligar VC, Wright RJ. Response of rice cultivars to phosphorus supply on an oxisol. *Fertil Res*. 1988a; 16:195–206
17. Fentie D, Alemayehu G, Siddalingaiah M, Tadesse T. Genetic variability heritability and correlation coefficient analysis for yield and yield component traits in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *East Afr J Sci*. 2014; 8:147–154
18. Getachew M and Birhan T. Growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) as affected by time and ratio of nitrogen application at Jimma South-West Ethiopia. *Int J Agric Innov Res*. 2015; 4(1):1473–2319
19. Güsewell S. N: P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. *New phytologist*. 2004; 164(2):243-66.
20. Islam MA, Raffi SA, Hossain MA, Hasan AK. Analysis of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield associated traits in some promising advanced lines of rice. *Progressive Agriculture*. 2015; 26(1):26-31.

21. Islam MZ, Mian MAK, Ivy NA, Akter N, Rahman MM. "Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and its component traits in restorer lines of rice." 2019); 291-301.
22. Jahn CE, Mckay JK, Mauleon R, Stephens J, McNally KL, Bush DR, Leung H, Leach JE. Genetic variation in biomass traits among 20 diverse rice varieties. *Plant Physiology*. 2011;155(1):157-68.
23. Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE. Estimates of Genetic and Environmental Variability in Soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*. 1955; 47, 314-318.
24. Kavanova M, Grimoldi AA, Lattanzi FA, Schnyder H. Phosphorus nutrition and mycorrhiza effects on grass leaf growth. P status- and size mediated effects on growth zone kinematics. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2006; 29:511–520.
25. Kumar S, Chauhan MP, Tomar A, Kasana RK. Coefficient of variation (GCV & PCV), heritability and genetic advance analysis for yield contributing characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2018;7(3):2161-4.
26. Kumar S, Pallavi, Chugh C, Seem K, Kumar S, Vinod KK, Mohapatra T. Characterization of contrasting rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes reveals the Pi-efficient schema for phosphate starvation tolerance. *BMC plant biology*. 2021; 21:1-26.
27. Mohanan KV, Pavithran K. Chronology of tiller emergence and tiller orientation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice*. 2007;44(4):307-10.
28. Nath S, Kole PC. Genetic variability and yield analysis in rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2021;12(1):253-8.
29. Padmaja S. Rao. "High density grain index among primary and secondary tillers of short-and long-duration rices." 1987;12.
30. Poudel, Mukti Ram, Padam Bahadur Poudel, Ramesh Raj Puri, and Hema Kumari Paudel. "Variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for agro-morphological traits in wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under normal and heat stress conditions." 2021: 65-74
31. Ranganathan J, Waite R, Searchinger T, Hanson C. How to sustainably feed 10 billion people by 2050, in 21 charts. *World Resources Institute*. 2018; 5.

32. Richardson AE, Lynch JP, Ryan PR, Delhaize E, Smith FA, Smith SE, Harvey PR, Ryan MH, Veneklaas EJ, Lambers H, Oberson A. Plant and microbial strategies to improve the phosphorus efficiency of agriculture. *Plant and soil*. 2011;349:121-56.
33. Rini H, Wahyuning AS, Munif G, Hajrial A. Pedigree selection to obtained rice varieties adapted to low phosphorus conditions. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*. 2018;82(10):234-43.
34. Robinson, H. F., Ralph E. Comstock, and P. H. Harvey. "Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn." (1949): 353-59.
35. Roy RN, Finck A, Blair GJ, Tandon HL. Plant nutrition for food security. A guide for integrated nutrient management. *FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin*. 2006;16(368):201-14.
36. Samal P, Babu SC, Mondal B, Mishra SN. The global rice agriculture towards 2050: An inter-continental perspective. *Outlook on Agriculture*. 2022; 51(2):164-72.
37. Seyoum M, Alamerew S, Bantte K. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of plant sciences*. 2012;7(1):13-22.
38. Shahidullah SM, Hanafi MM, Ashrafuzzaman M, Ismail MR, Salam MA. Tillering dynamics in aromatic rice genotypes. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2009;11(5):509-15.
39. Shavkiev, J., A. Azimov, S. Nabiev, ShKhamdullaev, B. Amanov, M. Kholikova, H. Matniyazova, and U. Yuldashov. Comparative performance and genetic attributes of upland cotton genotypes for yield-related traits under optimal and deficit irrigation conditions. 2021: 157-171.
40. Siegel FR, Siegel FR. Population assessments: 2013–2050–2100: Growth, stability, contraction. *Countering 21st Century Social-Environmental Threats to Growing Global Populations*. 2015; 1-8.
41. Singh U and Praharaj CS. *Chemical analysis of soil and plant samples*. 2017.
42. Statista (2024). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/255977/total-global-rice-consumption/>
43. Sumanth V, Suresh BG, Ram BJ, Srujana G. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;6(4):1437-9.
44. Swamy HM, Anila M, Kale RR, Bhadana VP, Anantha MS, Brajendra P, Hajira SK, Balachiranjeevi CH, Prasanna BL, Pranathi K, Dilip T. Phenotypic and molecular

- characterization of rice germplasm lines and identification of novel source for low soil phosphorus tolerance in rice. *Euphytica*. 2019;215:1-5.
45. USGS. United State Geological Survey in mineral commodity summaries (U.S. Geological Survey). 2012; 198.
 46. Vinod KK, Heuer S. Approaches towards nitrogen- and phosphorus-efficient rice. *AoB Plants*. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/pls028>
 47. Wang Y, Lu J, Ren T, Hussain S, Guo C, Wang S, Cong R, Li X. Effects of nitrogen and tiller type on grain yield and physiological responses in rice. *AoB Plants*. 2017;9(2):plx012.
 48. Wissuwa M and Ae N. Genotypic variation for tolerance to phosphorus deficiency in rice and the potential for its exploitation in rice improvement. *Plant Breed*. 2001; 120:43–48.
 49. Wissuwa M, Yano M, AeN. Mapping od QTLs for phosphorus-deficiency tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theor, Appl. Genet*. 1998.,97: 777-783.
 50. Zeifman L Hertog S Kantorova V and Wilmoth J. A world of 8 billion. 2022.