

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_113991
Title of the Manuscript:	THE LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY WHEN TO CONVERT
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents a technique and experience in the hospital center with a high success rate which is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Suitable for the manuscript</p> <p>3. The abstract explicitly reduces the objective of the study</p> <p>4. The article is well structured</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and novel</p> <p>6. References are appropriate and accurate for the manuscript</p> <p>The idea of the article is very good, since it identifies the risk factors for converting cholecystitis from laparoscopic surgery to open surgery. This is important because when we as surgeons are faced with an emergency we can identify patients with these factors. for conversion surgery</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality is clear and concise</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The structure of English is adequate, however, it presents minimal spelling errors, such as spaces in words.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alfredo Chama Naranjo
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México, México