

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_114183
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategic Assessment of Intricacies in Healthcare Cyber security: Analyzing Distinctive Challenges, Evaluating their Ramifications on Healthcare Delivery, and Proposing Advanced Mitigation Strategies
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript shows hints of significance for scientific world, yet needs substantial improvement, there is no any valid source of data used and looks very artificially created.</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, it is it presents some challenges in cybersecurity field but needs substantial improvements. Abstract requires improvement with research contributions, research results etc.</p> <p>Not good enough to meet journals criteria, the methodology section must include some figures and block diagrams, case studies method related figures and citations also avoidance of bullet form writing shall be avoided and adaptation of paragraph is needed. Yes, it is but data look doubtful to consider</p> <p>Reference shall be updated in proper format like [2], [10] , etc..arent recent authors should focus on recent articles</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article looks as AI generated plus the bullet points shall be converted to point wise paragraphs, and each methods should be extended with diagrams and step wise figures, also supporting results figures should be added and data source of generation must be provided</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Biplov Paneru
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University Nepal