

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_114681
Title of the Manuscript:	SIGNIFICANCE OF USING SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY WITH ENERGY DISPERSIVE X-RAY SPECTROMETRY (SEM-EDX) FOR ANALYSIS OF GUN SHOT RESIDUE
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The author describes the effectiveness of SEM-EDX as a non-destructive technique, compared to other available instruments, making it the most suitable technique for the analysis of forensic evidence from a crime scene.</p> <p>I suggest changing the title. the article does not only contain the analysis of the shooting residues and adds the comparison with other techniques. I suggest 'The importance of scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDX) and its comparison with other techniques'.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>there are old references for example Andrasko, J. and Maehly, A. C. (1978) The discrimination between samples of window glass by combining physical and chemical techniques, <i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i>, 23, 250-262.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some mistakes for example. 'Fibers not fibres; digitalization not digitalisation</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elaissi Arwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Engineering School of Monastir, Tunisia</b>