

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_114751
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation and evaluation of the Benefits of herbal compositions of Bacopa Extract and Bitterless Ebelin Lactone on various Biological Activities
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important as it addresses key challenges in the therapeutic use of Bacopa monnieri, potentially leading to more effective natural remedies</p> <p>2. The title is informative but lengthy. A suggested shorter title is: "Enhanced Bioavailability and Efficacy of Bacopa Extract and Ebelin Lactone: Preparation and Biological Evaluation."</p> <p>3. Assuming the abstract summarizes the study's background, aim, methodology, key findings, and implications concisely, it would be considered comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's structured approach with defined sections is appropriate for scientific articles, facilitating understanding.</p> <p>5. Based on the provided information, the manuscript seems scientifically correct, though this depends on detailed evaluation of the experimental design and data.</p> <p>6. The manuscript appears to reference several studies, but ensuring the inclusion of up-to-date research is crucial for its relevance and accuracy.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language is generally suitable, but improvements for clarity and conciseness could enhance the manuscript. Proofreading is recommended.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Valuable research that could be made more accessible with simplified language and streamlined presentation. Consider professional editing for further refinement.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwini Badhe
Department, University & Country	India