

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_114771
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Long-term Outcomes of Hirschsprung Disease Patients after Soave and Duhamel Surgery based on Pediatric Incontinence and Constipation Scoring System : Retrospective Cohort Study
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is good for scientific community, especially in areas where Hirschprung's disease have high prevalence. The manuscript would guide us to treat Hirschprung's disease.</li> <li>2. Suitable.</li> <li>3. Comprehensive, but author is requested to compare their results with some other studies.</li> <li>4. More or less appropriate. However, the chronological order of reference number is not maintained. Moreover some reference numbers as mentioned in reference are not found in the paper.</li> <li>5. Yes.</li> <li>6. Please make a correction as mentioned in serial number 4.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ritankar Sengupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Deben Mahata Government Medical College&amp; Hospital, The West Bengal University of Health Sciences, India</b>