

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_114771</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison of Long-term Outcomes of Hirschsprung Disease Patients after Soave and Duhamel Surgery based on Pediatric Incontinence and Constipation Scoring System : Retrospective Cohort Study</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>no</p> <p>no</p> <p>no</p> <p>no</p> <p>no</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>no</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. This study will benefit a major revision specially in medical/technical writing</p> <p>2. cohort study usually studies the exposure from an outcome, not the other way around</p> <p>3. why include 2 treatment ARMS?</p> <p>4. There is a high chance that the author copy pasted his/her proposal details to this manuscript.</p> <p>5. Please resolve if it will be a purposive sampling of those with the disease and why compute the sample size.</p> <p>6. Sample size computation are also not included in the manuscript</p> <p>7. List 1 is misplaced</p> <p>8. Use past tense in writing</p> <p>9. Matching could have been done to ensure lessening the bias of groups</p> <p>10. What is significant comparison?</p> <p>11. No clear trajectory from the research question to the methods, results and conclusion</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Brent Andrew G. Viray</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Philippines</b>