

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115381
Title of the Manuscript:	Motivation Level of ODL Students based on Keller's ARCS Model: A Case of Dual Mode University in West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The last part of the title "A Case of Dual Mode University in West Bengal" is not justifying the whole details of the research article</p> <p>Need a little bit of improvement as per the revised title (if any)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Okay</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need improvement as per the readers'</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>An honest and sincere appreciation for conducting a good piece of research work related to comparison of educational setup of two countries. But as a reviewer, for the betterment of the article, I suggest few corrections which are as follows:</p> <p>1. There are no research questions/hypothesis in the manuscript. Needs to write the research question(s) or hypothesis accordingly.</p> <p>2. Methodology part needs to be writing in details, as you carried out the research work. As a reviewer I suggest you to add the way you carried out the whole research work. It will enhance the authenticity of the research work.</p> <p>3. Whatever are the findings, and conclusions need to be discussed in a corroborative manner instead of a plain text.</p> <p>4. Arrange the references in alphabetical order and use one style for referencing for all.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhash Chandra Basu
Department, University & Country	H. P. University, India