

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115381
Title of the Manuscript:	Motivation Level of ODL Students based on Keller's ARCS Model: A Case of Dual Mode University in West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community. We can see the recommendation: Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations were suggested:</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>a. What is the important research question that wants to be answered in this paper?</p> <p>b. In the abstract, make clear for standard publication for journal. Purpose (1 sentence), method (1-2 sentences), results (2 sentences) and discussion (1 sentence).</p> <p>c. What are the research findings in this paper?;</p> <p>d. Please highlight the practical contributions of the research in this paper</p> <p>Highlights</p> <p>Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, it needs to be improved using standards and the structure of journal articles.</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Authors need to check cited references and add a few recent articles. Some references listed in the Bibliography have not been cited in this manuscript</p> <p>In the paper's contents, there are only 11 citation, but in the library list, there are up to 26. Please look again</p> <p>The listed references in the Bibliography are also not in standardized format. There must be only one style of referencing. The authors are strongly suggested to utilize reference manager software (e.g. Mendeley, EndNote, Zotero) in order to ensure the references are cited properly within the manuscript and listed appropriately in the bibliography. Without proper referencing, this manuscript is not fit as a scientific journal paper.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In order to improve the readability, the authors are suggested to have this manuscript read by a person with sufficient English proficiency and technical background. It could eliminate some slight grammatical errors</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Over all the theme of the paper is good, but more literature review and reference is needed by author.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muktiarni
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia