

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_115044</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Effectiveness of the Tudang Sipulung Integrated Project-Based Learning Model to Improve the Character of Mutual Cooperation at the Elementary School Level</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is essential as an added innovative way in learning and the educational system.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, it is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract covers everything. Nonetheless, it is also required to specify the year the study was assessed.</b></p> <p>4. Yes, it is, however there aren't enough citations in the structure to back up the study.</p> <p>5. <b>To be more scientifically correct, not only the phases of the development of the project must be included. But also, the methods to use in order to measure its effectiveness which is missing in the context must be included.</b></p> <p>6. <b>The references are not sufficient.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The article needs to pay a visit with the grammar and punctuations used.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. The research method only includes the stages of the ADDIE model. The ISO metrics to test the composition of the developed software like ISO:250100 is not declared, the years of observation of the implementation of the project has not been mentioned and therefore it is insufficient to justify the word "Effectiveness" from the title.</p> <p>2. The effectiveness of the learning model cannot be achieved all alone by the ADDIE model.</p> <p>3. The paper title is trying to discuss about the effectiveness and yet the research method only discusses the phases of the project development.</p> <p>4. There is a lack of literature to support the method, discussions, and results of the study.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> None.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pilita A. Amahan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Occidental Mindoro State College, Philippines</b>