

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115044
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of the Tudang Sipulung Integrated Project-Based Learning Model to Improve the Character of Mutual Cooperation at the Elementary School Level
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community.</li> <li>2. Title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. The abstract lacks comprehensiveness. Findings were vague, not clear and may cause confusion on the part of the readers.</li> <li>4. Introduction is good; however, there is no research gap. A research gap helps manifest that the study is researchable.</li> <li>5. Objectives of the study was not presented/included.</li> <li>6. Discussion of findings are not clearly presented. No support studies.</li> <li>7. The manuscript lacks the substance. It is less informative to readers who are from other country.</li> <li>8. Some parts of the references were outdated and lacks information, (e.g URL, etc.)</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality of the article needs to be improved or polished for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript needs review and thorough editing.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>None.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Evangeline A. Mansanadez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Education, Jose Rizal Memorial State University, Philippines</b>