UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS INCREASE CO2 LEVELAND THE PHOTOSYNTHETIC RESPONSE OF PLANT

ABSTRACT:

Although the importance of respiration and photosynthesis to plants is well-established, the antioxidant system's response to abiotic stresses remains an area of intense interest in the study of physiological stress. While reports and reviews have been conducted on a single important metabolic process and its reaction to climate change, there has been little coverage of an integrated study that would include several biological processes at different scales. Along with other important abiotic stresses like drought, heat, nitrogen limitation, and ozone pollution, this review will provide a synthesis of the mechanisms to elevated CO2 and its responses at various scales, including cellular, molecular, physiological, and biochemical, and individual aspects. While it contains what has been well-established in earlier reviews, the current comprehensive evaluation may contribute considerable and pertinent information about the issue in recent research. An introduction to the essential biological processes and a synopsis of their functions in controlling the environment follows. The second part of the article discusses the current state of study on the many subtopics, such as how plants adjust their antioxidant system, respiration, and photosynthetic capacity to either CO2 enrichment or other forms of climate change. In the end, we go over some of the possible uses for plant responses to different degrees of climate change. aided by this review, which is currently of paramount concern on a global scale.

Keywords:antioxidant, abiotic stresses, bio-chemical processes, comprehensive evaluation, of physiological stress

1. INTRODUCTION:

Increases in atmospheric CO_2, global warming, changes in precipitation patterns, and the feedback loops between these and other environmental variables are the main drivers of climate change (IPCC, 2013). Due to the significant rise in CO_2 emissions to the atmosphere this century, its level has been steadily rising at a rate of around 1.0 μmol mol−1 per year (IPCC, 2013; NASA, 2014).

Our attention here is directed to the essential plant biological processes involved in climate change, such as respiration, photosynthesis, metabolic activities, and the antioxidant system. Both respiration and photosynthesis are essential to plant life. Photosynthesis fixes carbon dioxide and releases oxygen into the air, while respiration produces energy and works on substrate metabolisms like those that supply the carbon skeleton.

According to many studies (Singh & Agrawal, 2015; Long et al., 2004; Peñuelas et al., 2013; Matros et al., 2006), the respiration, photosynthesis, and antioxidant systems, among other important secondary metabolisms in plants, have been impacted by the increasing levels of CO2. These basic biological reactions may be the basis for all additional impacts of increased CO2 on specific plants and ecosystems. Increased CO2 levels may affect genetic variations linked to biological process traits. For the reasons already mentioned, studying how plants adapt to a changing environment has been a major focus of botanical studies all sizes in the last few decades. Photosynthesis, stomatal behavior, and other biological reactions to elevated CO2 levels and how it interacts with changes in the environment have been extensively studied in several papers (Long et al., 2004).

Plant development, N and carbon (C) allocations, and gas exchange reactions to increased CO2 in the context of drought and high temperatures were the subjects of our previous review by Xu et al. (2013a). Whether we're talking about the molecular, cellular, biochemical, physiological, organ, person, or ecosystem level, this review did touch on water use efficiency (WUE), how higher plants' growth, photosynthesis, and changed when contact with CO2 upgrading with abiotic variables (Ainsworth and Long, 2005).

However, it did not go into detail on the numerous underlying mechanisms by which these critical biological processes were affected, modulated, or controlled. To be more specific, there has been no well evaluated systematic evaluation of these materials. Consequently, we aimed to methodically summarize the substantial study found that when exposed to increased CO2 levels together with other environmental factors, the respiratory, photosynthesisal, and antioxidant systems reacted differently. Our review was in light of the need to update and consolidate all relevant studies on plant biology and climate change (Ainsworth Rogers, 2007).

In particular, we examined the processes at work, the paths for a response, and the linkages between these. Lastly, we provide and discuss briefly the future prospects of our work in relation to the potential consequences. As a result, this review may be relevant to the present day due to its multidisciplinary and systematic synthesis, which includes detailed information on significant past and present experimental findings, theoretical analysis, underlying processes, and possible submissions that could spur additional research.

2. RESPONSES THE PROCESSES OF CRITICAL BIOLOGICAL TO ELEVATED CO2

STOMATAL CONDUCTANCE RESPONSE TO ELEVATED CO2 CONCENTRATION:

Guard cells are innately able to sense CO2, and it is believed that they react more to CO2 in the intercellular space (ci) than to CO2 at the surface of the leaf. we will just provide a brief overview of guard cell signaling and metabolism. The amounts of organic and ionic solutes facilitate the turgor pressure inside the guard cells, which controls the stomatal opening. The potential of guard cell membrane must be depolarized in order for the stomata to close. Based on electrophysiological studies, it was found that when CO2 levels are high, certain potassium channels are more active than others. On the other hand, inward rectifying potassium channels are less active, S type anion channels are more active, guard cell Cl-release is stimulated, and guard cell Ca2+concentration is increased (Hanstein et al., 2002). The stomata close as a result of the depolarization of guard cell membrane potential brought forth by these alterations. A smaller stomatal aperture is the consequence of more depolarization with higher CO2 (Raschke et al., 2003).

To review, when CO2 levels are high, stomatal aperture typically decreases in the near term. Dysregulation of stomatal aperture, stomatal index, or density of stomata may lead to a decline in gs over time. According to Gray et al. (2000), the HIC gene produces a potential *3-keto acyl coenzymeA synthase*, a protein that negatively regulates stomatal development. In contrast to the majority of plants, mutant hic plants respond to high CO_2 levels by increasing their stomatal density by as much as 42%. This is likely because high CO_2 levels impair the signal transduction system that normally controls stomatal patterning (Gray et al., 2000). Additionally, research by Lake et al. (2001) suggests that mature leaves limit stomatal development in response to CO2. Immature leaves get signals from mature leaves about levels of CO2 and adjust their stomatal growth according on those signals (Lake et al., 2001).

Abscisic acid, ethylene, and jasmonic acid may all have a role in long-distance signaling, according to new research using Arabidopsis mutants; moreover, there are separate controls for adaxial and abaxial stomatal responses (Lake, et al., 2002). Many Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes and a broad range of other species have their stomatal density reduced during growth in environments with increased CO2 levels (Hetherington & Woodward 2003; Woodward et al., 2002). In FACE studies, the drop in gs with increased CO2 was not due to a substantial change in stomatal density (Reid et al., 2003; Marchi et al., 2004; Tricker et al., 2005).

3. RESPONSE OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS TO INCREASED CO2 CONCENTRATIONS:

QUANTITY OF RESPONSE:

Ainsworth and Rogers (2007), Nowak et al. (2004), Ainsworth and Long (2005), Long et al. (2004) and are just a few of the several publications that have evaluated the reactions of photosynthesis to increasing CO2 concentrations (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007). Ainsworth and Long (2005) and Ainsworth and Rogers (2007) found that the stimulation magnitude varied across the range of plant functional types (PFTs) is as follows: trees and C3 grasses exhibit the highest PFTs, shrubs, C3 and C4 crops, and legumes have moderate PFTs, and C4 grass has the lowest PFTs (despite a negative response). Since plant species, PFTs, and environmental factors such as nutrition and water resource availability all play a role, the stimulation by high CO2 levels may vary greatly (Markelz et al., 2014).

Also, C4 plants may enhance CO2 fixation while using light more efficiently by avoiding photorespiration (Long et al., 2006; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003). High internal and stomatal resistances, increased levels of starch, diluted concentration of chlorophyll, and nitrogen restriction are other potential outcomes of photosynthetic adaptation to elevated CO2 levels (Teng et al., 2009). Carbohydrate accumulations, including starch size and chloroplast number, may be augmented under increasing CO2, in part because the carbon substrate increases (Teng et al., 2006, 2009). Nevertheless, a decrease in photosynthetic capability may result from physical damage to chloroplasts or feedback inhibition caused by an overabundance of carbohydrates (Aranjuelo et al., 2011).

how plants adapt their photosynthetic processes to higher concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO2), especially when there is a nitrogen availability deficit and the plants need to store more CO2 for the long terms.

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS:

Function of Rubisco Duarte et al. (2014), Ainsworth Rogers (2007), and Long et al. (2004) all found that short-term increased CO2 stimulated photosynthesis in C3 species. This finding was confirmed under almost all experimental settings, including FACE. Acclimation of photosynthesis capability may occur with prolonged exposure to high CO2 or other constraints; the specifics of this process depend on the species, stage of development, and environmental factors. According to research by Eichelmann et al. (2009), the enzyme Rubisco regulates the rate of carbon fixation. Here, we provide a brief overview of the five main hypotheses that might account for Rubisco's reaction to increasing CO2 levels.

An instantaneous rise in the Rubisco carboxylation velocity may be caused by growing CO2 levels, since there is an increase in the availability of carbon substrate. (2) Carboxylation and oxygenation are two inherent side characteristics of Rubisco catalytic activity. Ainsworth and Rogers (2007) found that at 25◦C, the oxygenation process may consume about one-third of the ribulose1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), as the carboxylation rate is approximately 2.2 times higher. Therefore, increased CO2 levels can promote RuBP carboxylation by up-regulating Rubisco's affinity for CO2, and inhibit RuBP oxygenation (light-dependent photorespiration) by down-regulating Rubisco's affinity for O2.

4. RESPIRATORY RESPONSE TO INCREASED CO2

PHOTORESPIRATION:

Decreased photosynthesis may result from enhanced photo peroxidation in chloroplasts, which can destroy chlorophyll and cause membrane disintegration. The photosynthetic machinery may be protected from oxidative stress if photorespiration is limited by increased CO2, which may also lower H2O2 products (Zinta et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2014).

Since photorespiration prevents photo-oxidation, it may work by increasing glutamine synthetase (GS2) activity, which recycles ammonia and reduces photo-oxidation and photo-inhibition (Zinta et al., 2014). Another problem arises because, as CO2 levels rise, photorespiration decreases, which might counteract the protective effect and cause more photo-oxidation than the increased rate of carboxylation can sustain. Research into climate change adaptation strategies, such as modified photorespiration bioprocess manipulation, is necessary to address this challenge (Moroney et al., 2013).

5. INCREASED CO2 INTERACTIONS WITH A VARIETY OF ABIOTIC STRESSORS:

Global warming is a growing problem that will soon affect many parts of the biosphere, including humans, animals, and plants. Extreme weather events are a known risk to global food supplies because they might disrupt agricultural output, which is highly sensitive to weather patterns. Significant fluctuations in worldwide temperatures and precipitation patterns have the potential to render agricultural plants susceptible to both biotic and abiotic stresses, including pathogens, vegetation, ozone, cold, intense light, nutrients, and drought.

In addition, shifting weather patterns may need new farming techniques, which in turn affect the dynamics between plants and their parasites (Furlong and Zalucki, 2017). For example, soil-borne illnesses are more common in areas where practices such as stubble retention and limited tillage are utilized to maintain soil moisture (Kazan andGardiner, 2018).

One of the main causes of global warming is atmospheric CO2. Carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere increased from 280 ppm to 400 ppm throughout the last century, coinciding with industrialization and deforestation. By the end of this century, experts anticipate that these levels will reach 730 to 1000 ppm (IPCC, 2014; Meehl et al., 2007). More and more evidence points to the fact that eCO2, in addition to its involvement in climate change, may impact agricultural productivity in a number of ways, both directly and indirectly. While it is known that eCO2 can have positive effects on crop production, especially in C3 plants, these effects are highly dependent on nutrient and water availability and were mainly studied when stresses weren't present (AbdElgawad et al., 2016; Becklin et al., 2017; Ghini et al., 2015; Gray and Brady, 2016).

Depending on the sort of interactions between plants and parasites, eCO2 may have negative, neutral, or even favorable effects on plant health. As shown in numerous studies (Jwa and Walling, 2001; GóriaI et al., 2013; Mcelrone et al., 2005; Zavala et al., 2012, 2013; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2015b; Váry et al., 2015;), eCO2 can directly and/or indirectly affect many aspects of host and parasite biology during plant-biotic interactions.

6. CONCLUSION:

1. In general, when CO2 levels are high, the Anet goes up. However, the specific beneficial effects on different plant functional groups and species are quite species and functional group dependent. For example, although C3 species are predicted to be stimulated by increasing CO2, C4 plants are the only ones that would experience this effect under water scarcity circumstances (caused by the CCM). The CO2 enrichment of Rubisco improves its ability to fix carbon, which is a dual feature. Nevertheless, in the presence of carbon sink restrictions and/or a reduced ATP:ADP ratio, as well as diluted N and excessive photosynthate buildup in response to continuously increasing CO2, the photosynthetic capability may be downregulated.
2. While the amounts of structural carbohydrates, lignin, and lipids stay relatively constant. On the other hand, CO2 enrichment improves the concentration of some secondary metabolites such phenolic acids, tannins, and phenylpropanoids. Increased CO2 levels may reduce isoprene emissions because photosynthetic metabolism requires ATP and NADPH for equilibrium.
3. Under various environmental conditions, elevated CO2 may reduce the negative impacts of abiotic stresses by promoting antioxidant defense metabolism, decreasing photorespiration, increasing resource use efficiency, and improving photosynthesis.

4. POSSIBLE USES IN LIGHT OF FUTURE CLIMATE CHANGE:

Key metabolic processes in living organisms and the feedback loops between them may be affected by future climate change. To combat future climate change, we may also use biotechnological instruments like the protective function against ROS.

REFERENCES:

Ahuja, I., de Vos, R. C., Bones, A. M., & Hall, R. D. (2010). Plant molecular stress responses face climate change. *Trends in plant science*, *15*(12), 664-674.

Amthor, J. S. (2000). Direct effect of elevated CO2 on nocturnal in situ leaf respiration in nine temperate deciduous tree species is small. *Tree physiology*, *20*(2), 139-144.

Aranjuelo, I., Cabrera-Bosquet, L., Morcuende, R., Avice, J. C., Nogues, S., Araus, J. L., ... & Perez, P. (2011). Does ear C sink strength contribute to overcoming photosynthetic acclimation of wheat plants exposed to elevated CO2? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *62*(11), 3957-3969.

Becklin, K. M., Walker, S. M., Way, D. A., & Ward, J. K. (2017). CO 2 studies remain key to understanding a future world. *New Phytologist*, *214*(1), 34-40.

CARMO-SILVA, A. E., Powers, S. J., Keys, A. J., Arrabaça, M. C., & Parry, M. A. (2008). Photorespiration in C4 grasses remains slow under drought conditions. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, *31*(7), 925-940.

Chakraborty, S., & Newton, A. C. (2011). Climate change, plant diseases and food security: an overview. *Plant pathology*, *60*(1), 2-14.

Eichelmann, H., Talts, E., Oja, V., Padu, E., & Laisk, A. (2009). Rubisco in planta k cat is regulated in balance with photosynthetic electron transport. *Journal of experimental botany*, *60*(14), 4077-4088.

Fones, H. N., & Gurr, S. J. (2017). NOXious gases and the unpredictability of emerging plant pathogens under climate change. *BMC biology*, *15*(1), 1-9.

Fukayama, H., Ueguchi, C., Nishikawa, K., Katoh, N., Ishikawa, C., Masumoto, C., ... & Misoo, S. (2012). Overexpression of Rubisco activase decreases the photosynthetic CO2 assimilation rate by reducing Rubisco content in rice leaves. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *53*(6), 976-986.

Furlong, M. J., & Zalucki, M. P. (2017). Climate change and biological control: the consequences of increasing temperatures on host–parasitoid interactions. *Current opinion in insect science*, *20*, 39-44.

Gautam, H. R., Bhardwaj, M. L., & Kumar, R. (2013). Climate change and its impact on plant diseases. *Current science*, 1685-1691.

Ghini, R., MacLeod, R. E., Santos, M. S., & Silva, C. E. (2015). Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increases eucalyptus plantlets growth and reduces diseases severity. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, *29*, 206-207.

GóriaI, M. M., GhiniII, R., &BettiolII, W. (2013). Elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration increases rice blast severity Trop. Plant Pathol., 38, pp. 253-257

Gray, J. E., Holroyd, G. H., Van Der Lee, F. M., Bahrami, A. R., Sijmons, P. C., Woodward, F. I., ... & Hetherington, A. M. (2000). The HIC signalling pathway links CO2 perception to stomatal development. *Nature*, *408*(6813), 713-716.

Gray, S. B., & Brady, S. M. (2016). Plant developmental responses to climate change. *Developmental biology*, *419*(1), 64-77.

Gregory, P. J., Johnson, S. N., Newton, A. C., & Ingram, J. S. (2009). Integrating pests and pathogens into the climate change/food security debate. *Journal of experimental botany*, *60*(10), 2827-2838.

Hanstein, S. M., & Felle, H. H. (2002). CO2-triggered chloride release from guard cells in intact fava bean leaves. Kinetics of the onset of stomatal closure. *Plant Physiology*, *130*(2), 940-950.

IPCC Climate change 2014: synthesis report R.K. Pachauri, R. K., & Meyer, L. A. (Eds.), Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.

Barbetti, M. J. (2012). Influence of climate change on plant disease infections and epidemics caused by viruses and bacteria CAB Reviews, pp. 1-32

Jones, R. A. C. (2016). Future scenarios for plant virus pathogens as climate change progresses. *Advances in virus research*, *95*, 87-147.

Kane, K., Dahal, K. P., Badawi, M. A., Houde, M., Hüner, N. P., & Sarhan, F. (2013). Long-term growth under elevated CO2 suppresses biotic stress genes in non-acclimated, but not cold-acclimated winter wheat. *Plant and cell physiology*, *54*(11), 1751-1768.

Kazan, K., & Gardiner, D. M. (2018). Fusarium crown rot caused by Fusariumpseudograminearum in cereal crops: recent progress and future prospects. *Molecular plant pathology*, *19*(7), 1547-1562.

Kobayashi, T., Ishiguro, K., Nakajima, T., Kim, H. Y., Okada, M., & Kobayashi, K. (2006). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration on the infection of rice blast and sheath blight. *Phytopathology*, *96*(4), 425-431.

Lake, J. A., Quick, W. P., Beerling, D. J., & Woodward, F. I. (2001). Signals from mature to new leaves. *Nature*, *411*(6834), 154-154.

Lake, J. A., Woodward, F. I., & Quick, W. P. (2002). Long-distance CO2 signalling in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *53*(367), 183-193.

Luo, Y., Su, B. O., Currie, W. S., Dukes, J. S., Finzi, A., Hartwig, U., ... & Field, C. B. (2004). Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Bioscience*, *54*(8), 731-739.

Marchi, S., Tognetti, R., Vaccari, F. P., Lanini, M., Kaligarič, M., Miglietta, F., &Raschi, A. (2004). Physiological and morphological responses of grassland species to elevated atmospheric CO2 concentrations in FACE-systems and natural CO2 springs. *Functional plant biology*, *31*(2), 181-194.

Markelz, R. C., Strellner, R. S., & Leakey, A. D. (2011). Impairment of C4 photosynthesis by drought is exacerbated by limiting nitrogen and ameliorated by elevated [CO2] in maize. *Journal of experimental botany*, *62*(9), 3235-3246.

Matros, A., Amme, S., Kettig, B., BUCK‐SORLIN, G. H., Sonnewald, U. W. E., & MOCK, H. P. (2006). Growth at elevated CO2 concentrations leads to modified profiles of secondary metabolites in tobacco cv. SamsunNN and to increased resistance against infection with potato virus Y. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, *29*(1), 126-137.

Mcelrone, A. J., Reid, C. D., Hoye, K. A., Hart, E., & Jackson, R. B. (2005). Elevated CO2 reduces disease incidence and severity of a red maple fungal pathogen via changes in host physiology and leaf chemistry. *Global Change Biology*, *11*(10), 1828-1836.

Meehl, G. A., Covey, C., Delworth, T., Latif, M., McAvaney, B., Mitchell, J. F., ... & Taylor, K. E. (2007). The WCRP CMIP3 multimodel dataset: A new era in climate change research. *Bulletin of the American meteorological society*, *88*(9), 1383-1394.

Meng, F., Zhang, J., Yao, F., & Hao, C. (2014). Interactive effects of elevated CO2 concentration and irrigation on photosynthetic parameters and yield of maize in Northeast China. *PloS one*, *9*(5), e98318.

Moroney, J. V., Jungnick, N., DiMario, R. J., & Longstreth, D. J. (2013). Photorespiration and carbon concentrating mechanisms: two adaptations to high O 2, low CO 2 conditions. *Photosynthesis research*, *117*, 121-131.

NASA, G. C. C. (2014). Global climate change: Vital signs of the planet. *NASA Climate Factsheet*.

Neher, D. A., Weicht, T. R., Moorhead, D. L., & Sinsabaugh, R. L. (2004). Elevated CO2 alters functional attributes of nematode communities in forest soils. *Functional Ecology*, 584-591.

Nowak, R. S., Ellsworth, D. S., & Smith, S. D. (2004). Functional responses of plants to elevated atmospheric CO2–do photosynthetic and productivity data from FACE experiments support early predictions?. *New phytologist*, *162*(2), 253-280.

Raschke, K., Shabahang, M., & Wolf, R. (2003). The slow and the quick anion conductance in whole guard cells: their voltage-dependent alternation, and the modulation of their activities by abscisic acid and CO 2. *Planta*, *217*, 639-650.

Reid, C. D., Maherali, H., Johnson, H. B., Smith, S. D., Wullschleger, S. D., & Jackson, R. B. (2003). On the relationship between stomatal characters and atmospheric CO2. *Geophysical research letters*, *30*(19).

Singh, A., & Agrawal, M. (2015). Effects of ambient and elevated CO 2 on growth, chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthetic pigments, antioxidants, and secondary metabolites of Catharanthus roseus (L.) G Don. grown under three different soil N levels. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *22*, 3936-3946.

Teng, N., Jin, B., Wang, Q., Hao, H., Ceulemans, R., Kuang, T., & Lin, J. (2009). No detectable maternal effects of elevated CO2 on Arabidopsis thaliana over 15 generations. *PLoS One*, *4*(6), e6035.

Teng, N., Wang, J., Chen, T., Wu, X., Wang, Y., & Lin, J. (2006). Elevated CO2 induces physiological, biochemical and structural changes in leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana. *The New Phytologist*, *172*(1), 92-103.

Tricker, P. J., Trewin, H., Kull, O., Clarkson, G. J., Eensalu, E., Tallis, M. J., ... & Taylor, G. (2005). Stomatal conductance and not stomatal density determines the long-term reduction in leaf transpiration of poplar in elevated CO 2. *Oecologia*, *143*, 652-660.

Vary, Z., Mullins, E., McElwain, J. C., & Doohan, F. M. (2015). The severity of wheat diseases increases when plants and pathogens are acclimatized to elevated carbon dioxide. *Global change biology*, *21*(7), 2661-2669.

Vaughan, M., Backhouse, D., & Ponte, E. D. (2016). Climate change impacts on the ecology of Fusariumgraminearum species complex and susceptibility of wheat to Fusarium head blight: A review. *World Mycotoxin Journal*, *9*(5), 685-700.

von Caemmerer, S., & Furbank, R. T. (2003). The C 4 pathway: an efficient CO 2 pump. *Photosynthesis research*, *77*, 191-207.

Wang, X., Taub, D. R., & Jablonski, L. M. (2015). Reproductive allocation in plants as affected by elevated carbon dioxide and other environmental changes: a synthesis using meta-analysis and graphical vector analysis. *Oecologia*, *177*, 1075-1087.

Watanabe, C. K., Sato, S., Yanagisawa, S., Uesono, Y., Terashima, I., & Noguchi, K. (2014). Effects of elevated CO2 on levels of primary metabolites and transcripts of genes encoding respiratory enzymes and their diurnal patterns in Arabidopsis thaliana: possible relationships with respiratory rates. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *55*(2), 341-357.

Woodward, F. I., Lake, J. A., & Quick, W. P. (2002). Stomatal development and CO 2: ecological consequences. *New Phytologist*, 477-484.

Xie, H., Zhao, L., Yang, Q., Wang, Z., & He, K. (2015). Direct effects of elevated CO 2 levels on the fitness performance of Asian corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) for multigenerations. *Environmental Entomology*, *44*(4), 1250-1257.

Zavala, J. A., Casteel, C. L., DeLucia, E. H., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2008). Anthropogenic increase in carbon dioxide compromises plant defense against invasive insects. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, *105*(13), 5129-5133.

Zavala, J. A., Nabity, P. D., & DeLucia, E. H. (2013). An emerging understanding of mechanisms governing insect herbivory under elevated CO2. *Annual review of entomology*, *58*, 79-97.