

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_113866
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and evaluation of different formulations of <i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> (Farlow) Samson against <i>Spodoptera litura</i> (Fabricius)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I consider that this study is important for the scientific community and also for producers who have problems with species like <i>Spodoptera</i>. The development of biopesticides using different oils and grains makes the work even more interesting and replicable. Certainly, its mass production and field application can be used for pest control, ensuring a sustainable environment.</p> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate.</p> <p>The summary would need to add more introduction and a conclusion</p> <p>Whether subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are adequate but some are not recent. It would be good to update with references after 2020.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>If English is adequate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract: I understand that the objectives must be written in the infinitive and in the summary it begins with a gerund. - It would be interesting to explain the effect of <i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> on <i>Spodoptera litura</i>. - In the section: Results and discussion: To make it clearer. You should include the abbreviations of the different formulations. - In subsection: Preparation of oil formulations of <i>N. rileyi</i>: Author Bhanu Prakash et al., 2015 is not in the reference section. - In subsection: Rearing of <i>Spodoptera litura</i> The larvae of third instar were taken from the culture, as and when required. (Sowmya et al., 2017) Remove point before authors - Place the legend of fig 1 outside the figure. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriela Beatriz Alejo
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Instituto de Biología de la Altura, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina