

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114995
Title of the Manuscript:	Resource Use Efficiency and Technical Efficiency of Soybean Production in Madhya Pradesh-DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) Approach.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> I think the contents of this manuscript are important for the scientific community. The level of efficiency known from the DEA results can be used by academics as an illustration for evaluating soybean farming in order to increase farmer income. Maybe this title would be better written like this: Resource Use and Technical Efficiency of Soybean Production in Madhya Pradesh: A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach. Maybe the abstract would be more complete if it were written: "Use of DEA" as an analysis method in the first or second sentence, or in one sentence between the first and second sentences. It might be more ethical if there is an Acknowledgment after the Conclusion and before the list of references. In my opinion, this manuscript is scientifically correct. I think the reference is current. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In my opinion, the English used is understandable. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Hazmni
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember, Indonesia