

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116092
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the impact of rice establishment methods, zinc application and rice residue retention on growth and development in rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is an original research work on the <i>Evaluating the impact of rice establishment methods, zinc application and rice residue retention on growth and development in rice</i>. It contributes to an important aspect of soil and agricultural sciences. Overall, it is a promising article and may be accepted after the suggested minor changes have been implemented. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. The subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. <p><u>Additional comments:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The author(s) should include some data on the prevailing weather conditions like humidity, precipitation, etc. ii. The author(s) may include a few photographs of the actual soil samples, different crop treatment patterns, crop yields, etc. for better visual understanding of the core research problem and methodology. Without photographs, figures and graphs the article might not create much interest in the readers to read the full article, which may lead to low citation of the article in the future. iii. Graphs and figures may be plotted for Tables 1-6 for improving the quality of the article. iv. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to recommendations and future scope of the study after the conclusions section, describing in brief how this current research can help in developing future soil and agricultural techniques and related policies. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India