

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_115771
Title of the Manuscript:	ENSEMBLE LEARNING BASED PREDICTION FOR CYBER HARASSMENT OBSERVATIONS ON TWEETS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It can be useful by making the article more comprehensive At the end of this section, I will announce the main concerns 2. It is suitable 3. No, it needs correction 4. No, for example, there is no section for previous related works 5. It needs to be corrected, for example, in terms of grammar 6. Most of the references are old and the number of references is few, the article needs more comprehensive references and preferably from 2020 onwards <p>Additional suggestions/comments</p> <p>I have deep concerns about this article First, there is no related works section in the article! The image quality is low In the first figure, none of the items are defined in the text and there is no reference to the definitions Figure 4 is very weak and hastily designed Some figures or tables are unnumbered! For example, pages 10 and 11 Future trends and open issues section should be included in the article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Grammar needs correction</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I have deep concerns about this article First, there is no related works section in the article! The image quality is low In the first figure, none of the items are defined in the text and there is no reference to the definitions Figure 4 is very weak and hastily designed Some figures or tables are unnumbered! For example, pages 10 and 11 Future trends and open issues section should be included in the article</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vahid Mohammadian
Department, University & Country	Sepahan Institute of Higher Education Science and Technology, Iran