

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_116017</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Study of Junior High School Mathematics Textbooks between People's Education Press Version and Gyeonggi Province Department of Education Version—Taking "similar triangles" as an example</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is scientifically sound and important</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title of the article is quite suitable for academic work</b></p> <p>3. <b>The Abstract is okay, but consider stating the objective clearly, methodology and recommendation in your abstract</b></p> <p>4. <b>The sub sections and structure of this paper are appropriate for scientific work</b></p> <p>5. <b>This manuscript is quite okay, it is scientifically correct and it informs the research world</b></p> <p>6. <b>The references are sufficient for this article</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	For scholarly communications, the English is quite appropriate, the article does communicate clearly therefore the language is suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Work on few notable areas as indicated, it will be okay.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Orni Nicholas Barasa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Moiben Technical and Vocational College, Kenya</b>