

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Hematology Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AHRJ_115494</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinical impact of Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency among sickle cell anaemic patients as seen in Maiduguri North-Eastern Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></li> <li><b>Introduction</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>Present study helps in optimal management strategies tailored to individuals with both sickle cell anemia and G6PD deficiency. This may involve personalized treatment plans, genetic counseling, and preventive measures to minimize the risk of hemolytic events and other complications.  <b>Yes, but may be change:</b> Exploring the Coexistence of Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Sickle Cell Anemia Patients: Insights from Maiduguri, Nigeria's North-East Region</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes (but maybe add a limitation of the study)</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>An introduction is written very lengthy and repetition of information. Plz rewrite in short form and rearrange the references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, However, some grammatical issues need to be corrected and some improvement.  For examples  Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>following exposure to infection or some drugs, which is also a feature of <b>the</b> SCA (3 rd line)</li> <li>The differences in prevalence could be <b>as</b> a result of the use of the non-quantitative method (fluorescent spot test), which is less sensitive and <b>have</b> has a drawback of substantially missing deficiency in female heterozygotes.</li> <li><b>In</b> (start with capital letter) Ghana29 <b>that (Which)</b> found a higher prevalence; this was probably due to differences in study participants selection, method of G6PD assay and geographic location of the study. It has also been reported that even within a given country,</li> <li>With transfusion support remaining an essential component of patient management in SCA and <b>has made (making)</b> a significant contribution to patients' morbidity and mortality, this study found that a high proportion of patients, 1</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>