

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116135
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CORRELATION BETWEEN MUZZLE WIDTH, BRISKET WIDTH BETWEEN FORELEGS, AND SKIN THINNESS AT NECK REGION WITH MILK PRODUCTION IN LACTATING MURRAH BUFFALOES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript THE CORRELATION BETWEEN MUZZLE WIDTH, BRISKET WIDTH BETWEEN FORELEGS, AND SKIN THINNESS AT NECK REGION WITH MILK PRODUCTION IN LACTATING MURRAH BUFFALOES could give some information about the relationships between morphological traits and milk yield to be included in genetic selection programs, interesting for researcher. The title is suitable yes could be improved the statistical analysis is non described properly, and the original data are not shown (as average and SD). Moreover, the number per sub-set is different and no indication of data dispersion is given to define the robustness of the analysis. references could be improved in number and dating. <p>Line 10: add reference Line 31-32: this could be part of the previous paragraph.</p> <p>Introduction It is better to specify which linear traits are considered in each of the reported papers, and the references are quite dated please improve</p> <p>Material and methods No reference to Ethical approval of the trial Give a better description of how the measurements were taken Define lactation length The statistical analysis description is not clear. Please explain in full which statistical analysis and equation has been performed.</p> <p>Results and discussion It is said that were recorded 11 body morphometric traits but no results were given. Please include a table with the average and SD of the analyzed traits. The discussion could be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I am not a native speaker to judge the language quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonella Chiariotti
Department, University & Country	Italy