

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_115326 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Fermentation profile and bromatological composition of Pennisetum purpureum grass silage with inclusion of dehydrated cupuaçu almond bran |
| Type of the Article | Applications of Agricultural Biotechnology |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community as it reports a relevant and pressing issue within the field. By perusing the impact of incorporating dehydrated cupuaçu almond bran into Pennisetum purpureum grass silage, the study provides valuable insights into potential enhancements in fermentation profile and bromatological composition.</p> <p>Effects of Dehydrated Cupuaçu Almond Bran Inclusion on Fermentation Profile and Bromatological Composition of Pennisetum purpureum Grass Silage</p> <p>Yes and better to highlight the most significant findings of the study in a concise manner</p> <p>Yes. But Conclusion section should be included just after the results and discussion section.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Doi links have to be added further.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Pay attention to syntax and grammar to ensure the abstract reads smoothly and effectually communicates the research findings.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>No</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Uyanahewage Dilini Tharangi |
| Department, University & Country | Institute for Research and Innovation, Villa College, Maldives |