

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115962
Title of the Manuscript:	Fungal diversity associated with the hive stored pollen of stingless bees <i>Tetragonula travancorica</i> Shanas and Faseeh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community. It sheds light on the fungal contamination of bee pollen, a commercially valuable product, and the potential health risks associated with mycotoxin-producing fungi. The title is suitable but could be more concise. Consider "Fungal Contamination of Stingless Bee Pollen and Potential Health Risks". The abstract is comprehensive but could be strengthened by mentioning the specific fungal species identified and their potential health risks. The structure is appropriate with a clear introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. The manuscript is scientifically sound. Citations are also used to support claims, and the methodology is appropriate. The references are sufficient and recent (most within the last 10 years). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is mostly good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diepiriye Chenaboso Okujagu
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria