

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113191
Title of the Manuscript:	Micro clonal Propagation Protocol for Lowland bamboo ( <i>Oxytenanthera abyssinica</i> ) and adapted the propagated seedling in the dryland area's of Abay Valley, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. It presents concrete results for improving bamboo crops, especially for dryland areas.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>However, I recommend switching to: "Micro clonal Propagation Protocol for Lowland bamboo (<i>Oxytenanthera abyssinica</i>) and adapted the propagated seedling in the dryland areas of Abay Valley, Ethiopia".</p> <p>3. Yes (see text).</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>I recommend unifying the formatting of the shapes, for example with or without borders.</p> <p>I also recommend adapting them to the above, according to... "Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables and figures. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text".</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>I recommend adapting this Section (5. References) as outlined in the Author Guidelines. E. g.: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</p> <p>In Sec. 4. (Conclusions), I include several recommendations to improve and give more relevance to the conclusions of the work (see text).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes. I include a few corrections of form (see text)</p> <p>Please unify the use of: Antifungal vs. Antifungal Mancojibe Antifungal agent vs. Antifungal Agent Mancogin.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guillermo Martín Caille</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina</b>