

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115659
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the health of Dachigam stream: A water quality Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscripts are important for the scientific community because they relate to improving the quality of human life. The discussion is also linked to several other disciplines so that the article becomes interesting. 2. The title of the article is clear 3. The abstract is not complete, only explaining the introduction. The abstract should contain an introduction, methods and results. Abstracts need to be equipped with research methods and results. 4. The sub-sections and structure of the manuscript are in accordance with the rules of article writing, but the results and discussion do not explain the results obtained. Most of the discussion is from another article. 5. The article is scientifically correct with methods and analysis presented clearly and systematically 6. The number of references is large, but most of them are still old references. There needs to be improvements to the latest references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	English is suitable for scientific communication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hermawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sains Al-Qur'an, Indonesia