

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_115388
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful laparotomy myomectomy during 2nd trimester of pregnancy : A Case report
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, important and interesting because the manuscript highlights safety of pregnancy with manipulation of the uterus.</p> <p>2. Massive subserosal myomectomy at 19 weeks of gestation with successful pregnancy outcome: A Case report and literature review.</p> <p>3. It need improvement, and it has to be focused on the safety of laparotomy for subserosal myomectomy</p> <p>4. It needs major revision.</p> <p>5. a, Preoperative tocolytics should be provided and continued of 48 to 72 hours b, Planned delivery rout should be provided immediately after surgery. As the pedicle hag wide base (fig) elective c/s could be considered. Cerclage in such situation is not advisable were the patient was offered and declined. (this things has to be addressed or explained)</p> <p>6. The references are appropriate but the number is not adequate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It needs revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The track changes will be put in the manuscript</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okbu Frezgi
Department, University & Country	Orotta College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Eritrea