

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_115781
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving English spellings of Class IV Students of Namgaycholing Primary School
Type of the Article	REVIEW

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>As a reviewer, I have thoroughly examined the research paper titled "Improving English spellings of Class IV Students of Namgaycholing Primary School" and have several concerns that need to be addressed before it can be considered for publication. Below are my detailed comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Importance for the Scientific Community: While the topic of improving English spelling skills is relevant and can benefit students, the manuscript lacks a clear connection to existing literature or broader educational research. The importance of this research for the scientific community could be better established by discussing how it adds new insights or addresses gaps in current understanding. Suitability of the Title: The title is somewhat vague and could be more specific to reflect the research focus and outcomes. A more suitable title could be "Addressing Poor Spelling Abilities: Strategies to Enhance English Language Proficiency in Class IV Students." Comprehensiveness of the Abstract: The abstract provides a general overview of the study objectives and methods but lacks specific details about the findings and implications. It should include a concise summary of key results and how they contribute to addressing the identified problem of poor spelling skills. Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The structure of the manuscript needs improvement. Subsections such as "Problem Statement," "Objectives of the Study," and "Methodology" are present but lack clear transitions and organization. A more coherent structure with subheadings that logically flow from one section to another is necessary. Scientific Accuracy: While the research methodology using document analysis is appropriate for the study's objectives, there are concerns about the depth of analysis and statistical validation of results. The manuscript should include more rigorous data analysis techniques and possibly quantitative measures to support the claims of improvement in spelling skills. References and Additional Sources: The references provided are limited, and there is a need to include more recent literature and studies related to language acquisition, spelling strategies, and educational interventions. Suggestions for additional references include recent publications on phonological awareness, spelling interventions, and literacy development in primary education. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Fine quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity of Writing: The overall writing style and language used in the manuscript need improvement for better readability and comprehension. Sentences are often convoluted and repetitive, which hinders the flow of ideas. Data Presentation: The data collection methods are mentioned, but there is a lack of detailed presentation and analysis of the collected data. Providing tables, graphs, or statistical analyses would strengthen the research findings and support the conclusions drawn. Ethical Considerations: While ethical considerations are briefly mentioned, more detailed information about the ethical approval process, participant consent, and data confidentiality measures should be included to ensure transparency and adherence to ethical guidelines. Practical Implications: The manuscript should discuss practical implications of the research 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>findings, such as how the identified spelling improvement strategies can be implemented in other educational settings or how teachers can integrate similar interventions into their teaching practices.</p> <p>5. Future Research Directions: It would be beneficial to suggest potential areas for future research based on the limitations or gaps identified in this study. This could include exploring different teaching methodologies, evaluating long-term effects of spelling interventions, or investigating the impact on students' overall language proficiency.</p> <p>As a reviewer, I recommend involving language education experts or consultants to provide insights on effective spelling improvement strategies and to enhance the methodological rigor of the study.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khritish Swargiary
Department, University & Country	India