

Review Form1.7

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science |
| ManuscriptNumber: | Ms_AJRCS_114080 |
| TitleoftheManuscript: | ChemicalComposition,Functional,Physical andSensoryEvaluationofFriedNoodlesProducedFromWheat andAfzeliaAfricanaFlourBlends |
| TypeoftheArticle | ResearchArticles |

Review Form1.7

PART1:Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <p>CompulsoryREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthe manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Doyouthink the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The Manuscript presents a pivotal contribution to the scientific community, highlighting the potential economic advantages of a community-based or town product over commercially produced instant noodles. This is underscored by its thorough examination of the chemical composition, functional attributes, physical properties, and sensory appeal of fried noodles derived from blends of wheat and Afzelia Africana flour. The findings illuminate the promising nutritional and sensory superiority of this locally sourced alternative, signaling a significant advancement in both scientific understanding and potential societal benefits.</p> <p>Assessing the Quality and Potential of Fried Noodles: An Analysis of Wheat and Afzelia Africana Flour Blends in Chemical, Functional, Physical, and Sensory Dimensions</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, however there is a minor comment on how the introduction was presented, the paragraph should have been divided as to topics or point of discussions. In the results and discussion, the follow should be every after a table the discussions should follow.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Most of the references are more than five years.</p> | |
| <p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Minor revisions on organization of discussions</p> | |
| <p>Optional/Generalcomments</p> | <p>Recommendations on the study should be given emphasis. Substantiate further the discussions in relation to the results, corroborate the results.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Mathew Jun Pego Mariani |
| Department, University & Country | College of Engineering and Information Technology, Kalinga State University, Philippines |