

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108546</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Molecular basis of self-incompatibility in plants: Unraveling nature's genetic check against self-fertilization</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is not correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results, and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table.</p> <p>5.- The entire text must be reviewed. In some sections the text is multi-spaced, in others 1.5. There are areas of the text without separation, others with separation. There are titles in a different font size than the rest. There are indentations outside the lateral boundary. There is unjustified text. All text must be uniform. The figures must be referenced in the text, in the appropriate place, where they are cited. Tables must also be cited in the text, where they are cited. Correct the separations in the tables and figures so that they do not jump between pages, tables or figures and their titles.</p> <p>6.- A few more references could be recommended, up to 40. All references must be in the text and in the references section. It is advisable that references are no more than 8-10 years old. Except one or two if its use is extremely important. It is suggested to carry out a bibliographic review of the studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	-Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- It would be interesting to give some more data in the conclusion, and more information about the result obtained. Explain a little more about the good results obtained.</p> <p>- As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the study's limitations.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Noé Anes García</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Oviedo, Spain</b>