

EFFECT OF SALINE IRRIGATION WATER ON YIELD AND BIO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF ONION (*Allium Cepa* L.) VARIETIES

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted at Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh to assess the "Effect Of Saline Irrigation Water On Growth, Nutrients Composition And Yield Of Onion (*Allium Cepa* L.) Varieties" during the *rabi* season of 2017-18. The pot experiment comprised four levels of salinity viz., < 2.0, 4.0, 6.0 and 8.0 dS m⁻¹ as well as five varieties viz., GJWO-3, GJRO-11, Talaj red, Pilli patti and PWF-131 in Factorial Completely Randomized Design with replicated three times. The experimental result revealed that the fresh straw yield (37.03 g/pot), bulb yield (37.49 g/pot), RWC, proline (0.96 µmole/gf.wt), chlorophyll a (5.77 mg/gf.wt), chlorophyll-b (4.22 mg/gf.wt) and total chlorophyll were significantly influenced due to different levels of salinity among different varieties of onion tested. (what exactly the authors want to say or highlight?)

Keywords : Salinity, Yield parameters, Biochemical parameters, Onion crop

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops cultivated extensively in India and it belongs to family *Alliaceae*. Onion is considered to be the second most important vegetable crop grown in the world after tomato. It is most widely grown and popular vegetable crop among the alliums as well as cash crops. Approximately, 170 countries grow onion for its own domestic use and it is also involved in international trade. It is estimated that over 9.2 million acres of onion are harvested annually around the world (National Onion Association, 2011). Onion is a hardy bulbous plant. It's an annual for bulb production and biennial for seed production. It is short duration crop.

The extend extent of saline area in Gujarat is about 12.18 lakh ha. Soil salinity adversely affects plant growth and development. Worldwide, about one-third of irrigated arable land is already affected and that level is still rising by salinity (Lazof and Bernstein, 1999). An excess of soluble salts in the soil leads to osmotic stress, which results in specific ion toxicity and ionic imbalances and the consequences of these can be plant demise (Rout and Shaw, 2001). Increasing crop salt tolerance is a highly attractive approach to overcoming the salinity threat. There is a need to explore and select salt-tolerant genotypes within a species in comparison to relatively salt-sensitive ones through conventional selection and breeding techniques.

Salinity affects both vegetative and reproductive phases of plants. In the vegetative phase, it leads to the reduction in growth and in reproductive phase, the main issue will be related to the decline in the yield (Meena *et al.*, 2012). Salinity stress produces adverse effect on quality of plant and resultant reduction in leaf area and number of leaves, simultaneously increased leaf thickness and chloroplast per unit leaf area due to lower photosynthesis, thus photosynthesis is measured in terms of chlorophyll. Therefore, biochemical traits like proline and chlorophyll and RWC are important criteria for screening of crop/variety for their tolerance against salinity stress. Hence an experiment was conducted to evaluate the salinity tolerance of onion genotypes with the objective of _____

Follow journal format for indicating authors (in numeric format)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was conducted at _____ above MSL with latitude/longitude details _____. The soil used for the experiment was silty clayey in texture and alkaline in reaction with pH 8.0, EC 0.58 dS m⁻¹, CaCO₃ 31.05 % and CEC 36.2 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹. The soil was medium in available nitrogen (242 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (34.20 kg ha⁻¹), high in available potassium (298 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available sulphur (23.50 mg kg⁻¹). Micro nutrient status was medium in available iron (6.25 mg kg⁻¹), low in available zinc (0.45 mg kg⁻¹), high in available manganese (15.20 mg kg⁻¹) and high in available copper (1.25 mg kg⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in Factorial a Completely Randomization Design (CRD) with factorial concept and

replicated thrice. ~~with three replications~~. The experiment ~~consists~~ consisted of 20 treatments combinations comprising all possible combinations of four levels of salinity viz; S_1 - $<2 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$, S_2 - 4 dS m^{-1} , S_3 - 6 dS m^{-1} , S_4 - 8 dS m^{-1} and five varieties viz; V_1 - GJWO-3, V_2 - GJRO-11, V_3 -Talaj red, V_4 - Pilli patti and V_5 - PWF-131. The required quantity of N @ 20 kg ha^{-1} and P_2O_5 @ 40 kg ha^{-1} applied to all the pots as basal dose in the form of urea and DAP, respectively. **(Indicate what was the quantity of fertilizer applied to each pot in grams or mg)**. A week after germination five plants per each pot were maintained under normal practices. **(Indicate here how salinity in the water was maintained according to the treatments)**. When crop required irrigation, the pots were uniformly irrigated with saline water as per treatments throughout the growing season. The biochemical parameters at 45 days after sowing (DAS) and yield were recorded at harvest of crop. **(What was the signs of maturity? And at what was the number of days to harvest?)**. The bio-chemical parameters like proline, chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and total chlorophyll respectively were estimated. **(Indicate here the procedure or reference of analysis)**. **(Write a line on the anova and how significance was interpreted?)**

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Weather and crop growth ???

Yield parameter

Fresh straw yield and fresh bulb yield were significantly influenced by different level of salinity on different varieties of onion crop (Table 1). The highest value of fresh straw yield (23.53 g) and fresh bulb yield (25.05 g) noted with the variety (V_4) Pilli patti. Interaction effect of salinity and varieties gives highest value in the interaction of S_1 ($<2 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$) x V_4 (Pilli patti). Fresh straw yield (37.07 g) and fresh bulb yield (37.49 g) (Table 2 and 3). This tolerance to salinity may be due to selectivity in ion uptake and capacity to adjust to the osmotic pressure of the substrate without the danger of accumulating excess salts as suggested by Hayward and Wadleigh (1949). These results agree with those of Bernstein and Ayers (1953), Francois (1994), Singh and Pandita (1981) reported that Salinity decreased bulb weight.

Bio-chemical parameter

Bio-chemical parameters include RWC, proline, chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and total chlorophyll at 45 DAT. Excluding proline all other parameters were found decreased ~~in~~ with increasing salinity levels. (Table.1).

Application of $<2.0 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ (S_1) saline irrigation water, gave significantly higher value of RWC (73.83 %) (at 45 DAT), chlorophyll-a content (5.53 mg/gf.wt), chlorophyll b (3.92 mg/gf.wt), total chlorophyll (11.75 mg/gf.wt) and 8.0 dS m^{-1} gave lowest value of RWC (at 45 DAT) (61.59 %), chlorophyll-a (4.11 mg/gf.wt), chlorophyll b (2.65 mg/gf.wt), total chlorophyll (8.54 mg/gf.wt). In the case of proline, its content ~~it~~ significantly increased with increasing level of salinity significantly. A higher proline content ($0.75 \mu\text{mole g}^{-1}$ of fresh weight) was recorded ~~under~~ with the application of 8.0 dS m^{-1} (S_4) saline irrigation water and lower proline content ($0.35 \mu\text{mole/gf.wt}$) was observed in $<2.0 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ (S_1).

In case of variety significantly higher value of RWC (70.89 %) and total chlorophyll was recorded with variety V_4 (Pilli patti) which was statistically at par with V_1 , chlorophyll a (4.93 mg/gf.wt), and lower value of RWC (63.66 %) (at 45 DAT), was of V_1 (GJWO-3). While in case of chlorophyll-a lower value (4.48 mg/gf.wt) observed with V_3 (Talaja red). The highest chlorophyll-b (3.63 mg/gf.wt) was registered was of V_4 (Pilli patti) and was lowest chlorophyll-b (3.00 mg/gf.wt) V_5 (PWF-131) and lowest total chlorophyll (9.16 mg/gf.wt) was recorded of V_3 (Talaja red).

The combined effect of salinity and variety was non-found significant on RWC and total chlorophyll content of onion. The water content of plants leaves under optimum conditions is significantly greater than those of plants under high salinity conditions. The water intake of plants is limited based on salt concentration in soil solution. Under these conditions plants try to overcome water stress by increasing the concentrations of their intracellular osmotic compounds. The relative water content decreases under salinity stress conditions (Katerji *et al.* 2003 and Kaya *et al.* 2003). Inhibition of growth and a decrease in water content induced by water stress has been universally observed even in tolerant plants (Bartels and Salamini, 2001; Mittler *et al.*, 2001). Water status is a major factor affecting plant growth and development. A decrease of the leaf relative water content under saline conditions has been observed frequently (Qin *et al.*, 2010 and Aroca *et al.*, 2012). The result is in ~~agreed~~ agreement with the findings of Yeo and Flowers (2006) who found

that _____ . ~~result~~. chlorophyll a and b contents and total chlorophyll decreased with increasing NaCl supply.

The interaction effect of salinity and varieties levels was found significant on the proline content (Table 4). The highest proline content (0.96 $\mu\text{mole/gf.wt}$) was observed in variety V_4 (Pilli patti) at salinity S_4 (8.0 dS m^{-1}). The lowest proline content was observed in V_3 (Talaja red) under S_1 (<2.0 dS m^{-1}). In response to drought and salinity stress, many plant species accumulate high levels of proline, which is thought to function in stress adaptation (Adams and Frank, 1980). Also increase in proline content in response to salinity has been reported by (Goudarzi and Pakniyat 2008, El-Baz *et al.* 2003, and Sidari *et al.* 2008).

The interaction effect of salinity and variety levels was found significant in respect to chlorophyll-a content of onion leaves (Table5). The highest chlorophyll-a (5.77 mg g^{-1} of fresh weight) was observed in combination of V_4 (Pilli patti) and S_1 (<2.0 dS m^{-1}) which was statistically at par to those combination of V_1 (GJWO-3) x S_1 (< 2.0 dS m^{-1}), V_2 (GJRO-11) x S_1 (< 2.0 dS m^{-1}) and V_3 (Talaja red) x S_3 (< 2.0 dS m^{-1}). The lowest chlorophyll-a (3.73 mg/gf.wt) was observed in V_3 (Talaja red) under 8.0 dS m^{-1} (S_4).

The data on interaction effect of salinity and varieties levels on chlorophyll-b content was presented in Table6. Highest chlorophyll-b content was found in combination of V_4 x S_1 (< 2.0 dS m^{-1}) and lowest chlorophyll-b content was found in V_5 (PWF-131) x S_4 .

The reduction of the chlorophyll content from the leaves under salt-stress conditions is due to the destruction of the pigments of chlorophyll and the instability of protein complex of pigments (Zhang& Davies, 1991). It is due to the interference of the salt ions with novo protein synthesis (chlorophyll structural components) rather than the decomposition of chlorophyll (Meloni *et al.*, 2003).

Acknowledgements: _____

Conflict of Interest: _____

Table 1 - Effect of salinity and varieties on yield and bio-chemical parameters of onion at harvest

Treatment	Yield parameters		Bio-chemical parameters (at 45 DAT)				
	Fresh straw weight (g)	Fresh weight of bulb (g)	RWC (%)	Proline ($\mu\text{mole/gf.wt}$)	Chlorophyll a (mg/gf.wt)	Chlorophyll b (mg/gf.wt)	Total chlorophyll (mg/gf.wt)
Salinity (S)							
S_1 : < 2.0 dS/m^{-1} (tap water)	34.4	33.77	73.83	0.35	5.53	3.92	11.75
S_2 : 4.0 dS m^{-1}	21.19	25.41	69.06	0.50	4.75	3.60	10.21
S_3 : 6.0 dS m^{-1}	17.02	15.11	64.01	0.59	4.39	3.06	8.93
S_4 : 8.0 dS m^{-1}	13.54	9.68	61.59	0.75	4.11	2.65	8.54
S.Em. \pm	0.41	0.37	1.26	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.16
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.16	1.06	3.59	0.03	0.16	0.20	0.46
Variety (V)							
V_1 - GJWO-3	23.49	24.37	69.85	0.59	4.92	3.54	10.41
V_2 -GJRO-11	19.01	19.58	65.85	0.48	4.63	3.26	9.88
V_3 -Talaja red	20.34	19.57	65.37	0.5	4.48	3.11	9.16
V_4 -Pilli patti	23.53	25.05	70.89	0.63	4.93	3.63	10.55
V_5 -PWF-	21.33	16.38	63.66	0.53	4.52	3.00	9.28

131							
S.Em. ±	0.45	0.41	1.40	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.18
C.D. (P=0.05)	1.30	1.18	4.02	0.03	0.17	0.23	0.51
S x V Interaction							
S.Em. ±	0.91	0.83	2.81	0.02	0.12	0.16	0.36
C.D. (P=0.05)	2.60	2.37	NS	0.07	0.35	0.45	NS
C.V.%	7.31	6.83	7.25	7.23	4.47	8.27	6.32

Table 2 - Interaction effect of salinity and varieties on fresh weight of straw of onion

Interaction	S ₁ : < 2.0 dS m ⁻¹ (tap water)	S ₂ : 4.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₃ : 6.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₄ : 8.0 dS m ⁻¹	Mean
V ₁ - GJWO-3	36.33	22.60	19.73	15.28	23.49
V ₂ -GJRO-11	33.13	16.57	14.17	12.17	19.01
V ₃ -Talaja red	33.60	20.27	15.07	12.43	20.34
V ₄ -Pilli patti	37.03	23.07	19.00	15.00	23.53
V ₅ -PWF-131	31.90	23.47	17.13	12.80	21.33
Mean	34.40	21.19	17.02	13.54	
S.Em. ±	0.91		C.D. (P=0.05)	2.60	

Table 3 - Interaction effect of salinity and varieties on fresh weight of bulb

Interaction	S ₁ : < 2.0 dS m ⁻¹ (tap water)	S ₂ : 4.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₃ : 6.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₄ : 8.0 dS m ⁻¹	Mean
V ₁ - GJWO-3	37.21	31.66	17.50	11.06	24.37
V ₂ -GJRO-11	32.01	23.89	14.99	7.45	19.58
V ₃ -Talaja red	31.96	22.63	13.88	9.79	29.57
V ₄ -Pilli patti	37.49	30.32	16.14	12.25	25.05
V ₅ -PWF-131	30.17	16.54	10.99	7.83	16.38
Mean	33.77	25.41	15.11	9.68	
S.Em. ±	0.83		C.D. (P=0.05)	2.37	

Table 4-Interaction effect of salinity and varieties on proline (µmole/gf.wt) content in leaves of onion at 45 DAT

Interaction	S ₁ : < 2.0 dS m ⁻¹ (tap water)	S ₂ : 4.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₃ : 6.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₄ : 8.0 dS m ⁻¹	Mean
V ₁ - GJWO-3	0.37	0.49	0.59	0.90	0.59
V ₂ -GJRO-11	0.34	0.46	0.58	0.55	0.48
V ₃ -Talaja red	0.32	0.46	0.58	0.65	0.50
V ₄ -Pilli patti	0.37	0.55	0.66	0.96	0.63
V ₅ -PWF-131	0.34	0.52	0.55	0.69	0.53
Mean	0.35	0.50	0.59	0.75	
S.Em. ±	0.02		C.D. (P=0.05)	0.07	

Table 5- Interaction effect of salinity and varieties on chlorophyll-a (mg/gf.wt) content in leaves of onion at 45 DAT

Interaction	S ₁ : < 2.0 dS m ⁻¹ (tap water)	S ₂ : 4.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₃ : 6.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₄ : 8.0 dS m ⁻¹	Mean
V ₁ - GJWO-3	5.59	5.15	4.57	4.35	4.92
V ₂ -GJRO-11	5.60	4.39	4.30	4.22	4.63
V ₃ -Talaja red	5.57	4.54	4.09	3.73	4.48
V ₄ -Pilli patti	5.77	5.00	4.64	4.30	4.93
V ₅ -PWF-131	5.10	4.67	4.38	3.93	4.52
Mean	5.53	4.75	4.39	4.11	
S.Em. ±	0.12		C.D. (P=0.05)	0.35	

Table 6- Interaction effect of salinity and varieties on chlorophyll-b (mg/gf.wt) content in leaves of onion at 45 DAT

Interaction	S ₁ : < 2.0 dS m ⁻¹ (tap water)	S ₂ : 4.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₃ : 6.0 dS m ⁻¹	S ₄ : 8.0 dS m ⁻¹	Mean
V ₁ - GJWO-3	4.06	3.47	3.43	3.21	3.54
V ₂ -GJRO-11	3.76	3.49	3.15	2.63	3.26
V ₃ -Talaja red	3.77	3.40	2.98	2.30	3.11
V ₄ -Pilli patti	4.22	4.01	3.40	2.89	3.63
V ₅ -PWF-131	3.82	3.61	2.37	2.21	3.00
Mean	3.92	3.60	3.06	2.65	
S.Em. ±	0.16		C.D. (P=0.05)	0.45	

CONCLUSION

Different level of saline irrigation water significantly influenced the bulb and straw weight of onion. The maximum bulb and straw weight were observed under S₁(<2.0 dS m⁻¹) with the value of 37.49 g plant⁻¹ and 37.03 g plant⁻¹ respectively. The proline content increased with increasing salinity. Significantly higher proline content (0.75 µmole g⁻¹ of fresh weight) was recorded ~~under~~ with the application of 8.0 dS m⁻¹ (S₄) saline irrigation water. The different salinity levels ~~had~~ significant effect on biochemical parameters like RWC, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll content. These parameters ~~improved~~ significantly at salinity level S₁ (< 2.0 dS m⁻¹).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adams, E. and Frank, L. 1980. Metabolism of proline and the hydroxyprolines. *Annual Review Biochemistry*, **49**:1005:1061.

- Anonymous, 2015. Functional foods and ingredients for protection against diabetes and metabolic syndrome. *Food Engineering Ingredients*, **40**(May/ June):16-18.
- Aroca, R., Porcel, R. and Ruiz-Lozano, J. M. 2012. Regulation of root water uptake under abiotic stress conditions. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **63**(1): 43-57.
- Bartels, D. and Salamini, F. 2001. Desiccation tolerance in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. A contribution to the study of drought tolerance at the molecular level. *Plant Physiology*, **127**:1346-1353.
- Bernstein, L. and Ayers, A. D. 1953. Salt tolerance of five varieties of onions. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science, **62**:367-370.
- El-Baz, F. K., Mahamed, A. A. and Aly, A. A. 2003. Development of biochemical markers for salt stress tolerance in cucumber plants. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **6**(1):16-22.
- Francois, L. F. 1994. Yield and quality response of salt stressed garlic. *Horticultural science*, **29**(11): 1314-1317.
- Goudarzi, M. and Pakniyat, H. 2008. Comparison between salt tolerance of various cultivars of wheat and maize. *Journal of Applied Science*, **8**:2300-2305.
- Hayward, H. E. and Wadleigh, C. H. 1949. Plant growth in saline and alkaline soils. *Advance Agronomy*, **1**:1-38.
- Indian Horticulture Database. 2011. Horticultural Statistical Glance. 162-169.
- Katerji, N., Van Hoorn, J. W., Hamdy, A and Mastroilli, M. 2003. Salinity effect on crop development and yield, analysis of salt tolerance according to several classification methods. *Agricultural Water Management*, **62**: 37-66.
- Kaya, M. D. and Ipek, A. 2003. Effect of different soil salinity levels on germination and seedling growth of safflower (*Cartha mustinctorius* L.). *Turkish Journal of Agriculture*, **27**: 221-227.
- Lazof, D. B. and Bernstein, N. 1999. Effects of salinization on nutrient transport to lettuce leaves: consideration of leaf developmental stage. *The New Phytologist, Cambridge, England*, **144**(1):85-94.
- Meena, Har & Bhalodia, PK & Jat, R.s & Vekaria, Lalit. (2012). Prospects of using saline water for irrigation in groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*)-Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) cropping system in saline black soil of Saurashtra. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **57**. 122-126.
- Meloni, D. A., Oliva, A. A., Martinez, Z. A. and Cambraia, J. 2003. Photosynthesis and activity of superoxidismutase, peroxidase and glutathione reductase in cotton under stress. *Environmental Experiment of Botany*, **49**(1):69-76.
- Mittler, R., Merquiol, E., Hallak, H. E., Rachmilevitch, S., Kaplan, A. and Cohen, M. 2001. Living under a 'dormant' canopy: a molecular acclimation mechanism of the desert plant *Retama raetam*. *The Plant Journal*, **25**:407-416.
- NAFED and National Consumers Cooperative Federation of India Limited (NCCF) to immediately intervene in the market for purchase of Red Onion (Kharif) for simultaneous dispatch and sale to the consumption centers across the country in the wake of reports of their falling prices.2023. <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleaseDetailm.aspx?PRID=1904936>
- National Onion Association, 2011. How and where onions are grown. <https://www.onions-usa.org/all-about-onions/where-how-onions-are-grown>.
- Qin, J., Dong, W., He, K. N., Yu, Y., Tan, G. D., Han, L., Dong, M., Zhang, Y. Y., Zhang, D., Li, A. Z., Wang, Z. L. 2010. NaCl salinity-induced changes in water status, ion contents and photosynthetic properties of *Shepherdia argentea* (Pursh) Nutt. seedlings. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **56**(7): 325-332.
- Rout, N. P. and Shaw, B. P. 2001. Salt tolerance in aquatic macrophytes: possible involvement of the anti oxidative enzymes. *Plant Science*, **160**(3):415-423.
- Sidari, M., Santonoceto, C., Anastasi, U., Preiti, G. and Muscolo, A. 2008. Variations in four genotypes of lentil under NaCl- salinity stress. *Journal Agricultural Biological Science*, **3**(1):410-416.
- Singh, G. P., Pandita, M. L., Malik, Y. S. and Singh, S. S. 1981. Effect of soil amendments on growth and yield of vegetable crops under saline irrigation water. Paper presented at All India

Seminar in Water Resources Development and Management held at Chandigarh, Nov. 28-29.

Vavilov, N. I. 1951. The Origin, Variation, Immunity and Breeding of Cultivated Plants (Translated by S. K. Chestitee). *ChronicaBotonica*, **13**:1-366.

Yeo, A. R. and Flowers, T. J. 2007. Salinity. In *Plant Solute Transport*, Blackwell: Oxford, UK. Pp. 340–365.

Zhang, J., and Davies, W. J. 1991. Anti transpirant activity in xylem sap of maize plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **42**(236):317-321.

UNDER PEER REVIEW