

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJDS_115708</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Long-term follow-up of endodontic microsurgical combined with orthograde retreatment of Oehler's Type III Dens Invaginatus: a case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, it is, but unfortunately the way the manuscript was poorly written and the discussion was focussed on the importance of CBCT in diagnosis, but ironically the author could not even presented a decent periapical xrays or cbct images.</p> <p>No. rather than using the word 'Long term...' change to '3-years follow up..' since the author only review the case up to 3 years and in the world of dentistry, 3-years follow up is not long-term review.</p> <p>The abstract need to be re-written and follow a proper format of how a case report writing should be</p> <p>I believe the author need to divide the subheading especially in the treatment part into smaller section, while expanding his text to describe what he did in a more comprehensive description as well as criticising his own work</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The author need to follow a proper format and standardise the writing according to the journal requirements, especially the naming format of the journal authors</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, the author need to have proof reading of his/her text as I could see lots of grammatical mistake (which I had already commented in the text)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chu Seng Boon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>International Islamic University, Malaysia</b>