

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_115544
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY AND INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT IN CHEMICAL INJURIES OF EYE: PREVENTING BLINDNESS
Type of the Article	case series?

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am really unclear as to the purpose of this paper - the authors write about the short term management of 2 patients. 1st patient has holi color - i am unsure whether this was basic or acidic. They discuss the outcome at 1 week but that's it. The 2nd patient has a more severe acid injury and they discuss outcomes to day 25. The treatment they use, while interesting, is not new so as to require publication in a peer reviewed publication. 2. No - the title suggests a big, broad review of literature and it is not 3. no 4. no - the paper is not structured well. It is really hard to follow what is going on with each patient since they use tables and bullets rather than doing a descriptive paragraph. It is also hard to understand their long term outcomes - there is a photo but no good narrative 5. The lit review is so limited and the conclusion is so brief. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There were grammatical errors - where the commas are placed, where things are capitalized etc.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praneetha Thulasi
Department, University & Country	Washington Unviersity in St Louis, United States