

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115274
Title of the Manuscript:	Enzymatic modification of milk proteins of cow milk, buffalo milk and goat milk and its effect on anti oxidant activity, digestibility of protein hydrolysates and bioactive peptides
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes. The MS use full for researchers and other communities.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, its suitable for MS.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Although author(s) write abstract in appropriate form however, methodology part may be more summarized because all wet lab activities viz. cleaning, washing protocols are general. so that, it's not written in length.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, I have gone through and find it is appropriate for MS structure.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, author(s) statically analysis results with appropriate methodology. Therefore, results and discussion parts positively correlate.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Author(s) mentioned references are recent however, its covered appropriate reviews literature and cover related MS objective.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The quality of the MS is good but in Grammer part, some correction/ mistake finds in all MS. For example, Goat, Cow and buffalo typed in capital letter in middle or end of the sentences.</p> <p>2. In material and method section, para no 2.5 mentioned in red of black font phase, I know it's is unfortunately typed/ by mistake left it. It is need to correct before publish.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I have gone through the MS in length and found that the MS is full fill the objective and its result. The conclusion section requires more information in relation to its results section. Author need to rewrite with helps of other references.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kamlesh Pareek</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Central Arid Zone Research Institute, India</b>