

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115800
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on effect of Weed Management on Growth and Yield of Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) cv. Arka Anamika
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Effect of weed management on the growth and yield of Okra</p> <p>Some how</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some Of the references should be amended accordingly</p> <p>The gap (staement of the problem) should be clearly compared with othe previous studies regarding the current study Uniqeness!</p> <p>Specific Objectives should be clearly described as well as their method of analysis</p> <p>Justification Should be Given Why Did the researcher selected the specific year of study?</p> <p>In the abstract part, there is no Conlusion and recommendation as well as sanpling method and sample Size Determination</p> <p>The study should be compared with previous nationa as well as international studies ether that are contradicts or in line!</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is ok</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Essa
Department, University & Country	Werabe University, Ethiopia