

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115265
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sulphur and Phosphorus Levels on Economics and Yield of Safflower (<i>Carthamus tinctorius</i> L.) Under the Eastern zone of Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it will provide a recommendation of soil fertility of safflower to increase yields</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it provides a brief of the experiment, treatments used and main findings</p> <p>Yes, there is a chronological flow</p> <p>Yes, however there is no description of the methods and parameters used' More yield impacting variables could have been used to support the yield data, such as growth, it would add value and help attribute what influenced the increasing yields.</p> <p>Yes, please add more citations which can justify your findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Where necessary, under methodology describe the parameters used, instruments models for measuring them and where formulas apply show them and the citation of where they have been adopted.</p> <p>Due to few parameters used, the increasing yields is not well justified. There are a lot of growth and yield related parameters which could have been measured to justify the yield results, in this case the findings needs to be justified with relating literature thus more citations of other researches done is relevant.</p> <p>The results will be more convincing if it was repeated for verification of the findings</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onkgolotse Moatshe Mashiqa
Department, University & Country	Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Botswana