

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115656
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotoxic Effects of Cassava Effluent on the Expression of Selected Genes in the African Catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I. Yes it's a good paper and this study sheds light on the molecular mechanisms behind the genotoxic effects of cassava effluent and emphasizes the significance of monitoring and controlling industrial discharge in order to protect the environment and public health.</p> <p>II. The title is suitable for the study.</p> <p>III. This is an interesting study on Genotoxic Effects of Cassava Effluent on the Expression of Selected Genes in the African Catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>.</p> <p>IV. When it comes to discussions of the brain, the finding is interesting. The subsection is good. But please amend the conclusions.</p> <p>V. Yes it is correct, the manuscript is scientifically correct. But please provide the objective of the study in the introduction, not in the results and conclusions.</p> <p>VI. It is suggested that the writer add more literature review of the subject matter. And please add more keywords such as Toxicity, Cassava effluents.</p> <p>VII. Please add more words in conclusions, with the addition of academic writing that describes the overall results and includes additional recommendations for further research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need some paragraph rephrase in some part especially in the methodology section. The methodology paragraph is so short. Please add some more words. The article is good overall.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is excellent, well-written, and structured. I would be happy to recommend the manuscript for Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology as it is an example of good study on the subject matter.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleanor Daniella Binti Lokman
Department, University & Country	Malaysian Research Institute, Malaysia