

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_115873
Title of the Manuscript:	Duration of illness and resilience as determinants of life satisfaction amongst patients with eye pathologies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It's an intriguing field of study that should prove helpful in determining how long the illness lasts and how quickly a person can heal. This is so because the deciding elements are connected to the patients' quality of life when they have eye diseases.</p> <p>suitable article However, a few specifics need to be mentioned, such as the patient group or age range that was studied.</p> <p>The abstract is worded quite nicely. Provide specifics Human ethics dictate that the researcher should outline the benefits of the study and describe the research methodology.</p> <p>Since humans were used in this research, please provide more information. Human ethics dictate that researchers should describe their methodology and the benefits of their work to those who are visually impaired.</p> <p>Please provide more information, as this study involved human subjects. Human ethics dictate that the researcher should describe the research procedures used and the benefits the study will have for those who are visually impaired.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theeranat Suwanaruang
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand