

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_115873
Title of the Manuscript:	Duration of illness and resilience as determinants of life satisfaction amongst patients with eye pathologies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article included life satisfaction in patients with eye pathology and the relationship between the two. In this respect it is important.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The summary of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>4. The infrastructure and titles of the article are appropriate.</p> <p>5. It is scientifically appropriate. In the method section, it is not written how data collection was done or what forms or scales were used. Additionally, if there is a scale used, information about it should also be included. The findings in the findings section of the article are nice and meaningful, but I wish the sociodemographic characteristics of the patients had been included and then these results had been given. It could have been more organized and understandable. Additionally, r values for correlation are given in Table 1. Either p value should be given or r and p should be given together.</p> <p>6. The sources of the article seem to be copied from somewhere rather than in a specific order. It should be rearranged in the same style and in accordance with the magazine rules. In in-text bibliographies, some are superscripted, some are written before the punctuation mark, and some are written after the punctuation mark. The bibliography for the text should be arranged according to the journal writing rules.</p> <p>The literature is not well covered and there are deficiencies in the sources. There are studies on life satisfaction and satisfaction in patients with eye pathology. Example;</p> <p>1. Gothwal, V. K., &amp; Mandal, A. K. (2021). Quality of life and life satisfaction in young adults with primary congenital glaucoma. <i>Ophthalmology Glaucoma</i>, 4(3), 312-321.</p> <p>2. Brunet, A., &amp; Heir, T. (2019). Major depression in individuals with visual impairment, associations with characteristics of vision loss, and relation to life satisfaction. <i>Associations with Characteristics of Vision Loss, and Relation to Life Satisfaction</i> (January 24, 2019).</p> <p>3. Tetteh, J., Fordjour, G., Ekem-Ferguson, G., Yawson, A. O., Boima, V., Entsuah-Mensah, K., ... &amp; Yawson, A. E. (2020). Visual impairment and social isolation, depression and life satisfaction among older adults in Ghana: analysis of the WHO's Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE) Wave 2. <i>BMJ open ophthalmology</i>, 5(1), e000492.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is fluent.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Derya Simsekli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ardahan University, Ataturk University, Turkey</b>