

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_115408
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical profiling of aqueous methanol extract of Terminalia bellirica from Bokaro district of Jharkhand, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important, because by knowing more specifically the components and structure of medicinal plants, the most appropriate dose for consumption can be established, identifying the toxic dose, adverse reactions and in whom its consumption is contraindicated.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. It must be structured according to the elements that correspond to this type of article, taking into account the maximum number of 250 words</p> <p>4. The methodology must be complemented with some aspects suggested in the manuscript</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References are sufficient, however, they must be verified to have the corresponding format according to the type of source and if it is possible to update them</p> <p>It is necessary to review the logical sequence between paragraphs and the correspondence between the different sections of the article, as well as complement the introduction, discussion and analysis</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Can be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Specify some aspects that are requested in various sections of the manuscript and appropriately use the writing rules</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Ander Asenjo Alarcón
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous University of Chota, Peru