

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_115461
Title of the Manuscript:	Barium titanate nanoparticles exhibit cytocompatibility in cultured bovine fibroblasts: a model for dermal exposure
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the authors done a good work under cytocompatibility.</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. Author should modify their abstract. Don't include subtitles like introduction, materials, results and discussion and conclusion. Author should prescribe their work in abstract part.</p> <p>4. Author may done more number of characterization like XRD, UV- DRS and EDX. Comparative studies with their compound.</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. Yes. Author may include few recent refence it will enhance their work. Reference no 36 should be changed after the year instead of ; author put, symbol.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and grammar of the article is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author may take XRD, UV- DRS and EDX. Comparative study also enhance their work.conclusion part should be modified author must give the detailed explanation about his work in conclusion part.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Arunadevi
Department, University & Country	D. K. M. College for Women, India