

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_114853
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF FEED TYPES ON REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE AND GROWTH OF <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> UNDER DIFFERENT CULTURE SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript delves into a groundbreaking discovery in the field of aquaculture. This innovation centers specifically on the cultivation of the African catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>. It has the potential to revolutionize how we raise this commercially important fish, leading to increased efficiency, sustainability, or perhaps even introducing new catfish products. Title of the article is suitable Abstract is comprehensive but need to revised according new statistical analysis. Subsection and structure of manuscript is appropriate. Manuscript is scientifically correct Some of reference not cited in the text. Some of the reference is to old. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> English quality suitable of scholarly communication 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript delves into a groundbreaking discovery in the field of aquaculture. This innovation centers specifically on the cultivation of the African catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>. It has the potential to revolutionize how we raise this commercially important fish, leading to increased efficiency, sustainability, or perhaps even introducing new catfish products. Major revised needed for this manuscript to be accepted for publication. The major flow of the manuscript is the statistical approached used which not suitable with the experimental design. Author is suggest to run Two-way ANOVA analysis with mean comparison for this study. <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please refer to the manuscript <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Add study objective at the end of this paragraph <p>Methodology</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The experimental design should be RCDB. Furthermore for statistical analysis must usedTWO-way ANOVA. Please use insert equation function to make formula Any reference for this formula? 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>4. Refer to the manuscript for further comments</p> <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What is the recommended range for the optimal growth for <i>C. gariepinus</i>2. Need to rewrite the findings after run the two-way ANOVA analysis and mean comparison test.3. Please refer to the manuscript <p>Conclusion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Refer to manuscript <p>Reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Some of the reference is not cited in the text.2. Some of the reference is to old. Please Used 5 -10 years latest references.3. Please refer to the manuscript for detail.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hadi Bin Hamli
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia