

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGMB_112552</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ADAPTATION AND GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF TEA PLANTS (CAMELIA SINENSIS (L) O. KUNTZE) IN OBUDU HILLS, CROSS RIVER STATE</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The manuscript is important with information that is very useful to tea growers in the region under research</b></li> <li>2. <b>Title is suitable</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive</b></li> <li>4. <b>Subsections and structure of manuscript appropriate</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, it is scientifically correct</b></li> <li>6. <b>References not sufficient and needs to be updated as well</b></li> </ol> <p>Let the author consider the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Use the correct format for the references.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Update the references in addition to him/her making sure that all references in the text are also found in the references section.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Make sure that the discussion section needs is tied up with results as well as scientific back up from previous studies.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Make sure that references are inserted where the reviewer has put "Ref" in the text</b></li> <li>5. <b>Label the axis in the graphical presentations provided.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>NB: As per your publishing policy, the work is sufficient in content and scientific relevance.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The English quality is ok and suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>None</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gerald Otwabe Areba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Egerton University, Kenya</b>