

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_115109
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON CONGENITAL FIBROSIS OF EXTRAOCULAR MUSCLES
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript presents a case study of an 8-year old with a difficulty to move his eye and upon several tests he diagnosed with congenital fibrosis of extraocular muscles. It is not clear (and not stated) what new information this case report adds. Therefore it is hard to tell whether it has an importance to the scientific community. Yes The abstract is missing the added value of this case report. How is this different from previous documented patients? What new information is gained through this case study? -Introduction is copied from the first reference the authors cite from GeneReviews. And can be further extended. -Discussion is not appropriate- there is basically no discussion, only bullet points of the different CFEOM phenotypes. I believe that the description of the case is correct. Only 8 references are included it will be appropriate to add in the text further information about common practice of diagnosis and management (with the appropriate references) and describe how this specific case study is different from what is currently known. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Figure 1b is blurry	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moran Dvela-Levitt
Department, University & Country	Bar-Ilan University, Israel