

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_113444
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CURRENT-VOLTAGE CHARACTERISTICS OF PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) SYSTEMS IN SELECTED CLIMATIC REGIONS IN CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes, but the references are not properly formatted. Some of the font sizes are 10 and 12</p> <p>The numbering of figures is not consistent. The author/authors should check the numbering of figures. The aim/objective of the research is properly spelled out. The objective is mixed up the literature review. The objective should come at the end of the introduction or at end of the literature reviews.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The literature is not enough. There should be connection between the abstract and the objective.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Paul Okon Udom</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Wukari, Nigeria</b>