

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115296
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of trichoderma and humic acid on vegetative growth and economics of garlic (<i>Allium sativum</i> L.) CV. G-282
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, because in this study experiment was performed on in Factorial Randomized block Design (FRBD). Under this experiment, the two factors were T₀ Control, T₁ <i>Trichoderma viride</i> T₂ <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> and H₀ Control, H₁ Humic acid 1%, H₂ Humic acid 2%, H₃ Humic acid 3% which were replicated thrice. It was concluded from the experiment that individual application of <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> and Humic acid 3% exhibited maximum number of leaves per plant, length of leaves, plant height, total chlorophyll content of leaves, respectively. However, <i>Trichoderma viride</i> and Humic acid 2% were found statistically at par with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> and Humic acid 3%. In terms of net return and B:C ratio the individual application of Trichoderma and Humic acid treatment (<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>) registered maximum value of net returns 314918 with highest B:C ratio (2.69) and foliar application of Humic acid reduced the net return and B:C ratio as compared to control. Maximum net return was observed treatment H1 (Humic acid 1%) and B: C ratio was observed treatment H0 (control).</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, abstract of the article completely comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and according to scientific format.</p> <p>6. Yes, reference is sufficient and according to citation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

Review Form 1.7

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiza Ayesha Andleeb
Department, University & Country	Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Pakistan