

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_113835</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SOIL HEALTH AND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The introduction section should include a reference.</li> <li>The "WHAT IS SOIL HEALTH" section needs a reference.</li> <li>The text lacks sufficient referencing and needs a thorough review.</li> <li>Many paragraphs are quoted without proper citation.</li> <li>The references used are outdated, and more recent sources should be included.</li> <li>Although there are references listed at the end of the article, they are not cited within the text. The Challenges and Solutions section needs more detail.</li> <li>Are only three challenges identified?</li> <li>The Nutrient Management Practices section requires more explanation supported by references.</li> <li>Ensure coherence between the article and discussions on soil quality and soil quality indicators within a paragraph, and provide relevant references.</li> <li>Enhance the abstract of the article for clarity and conciseness.</li> <li>The grammar of the article needs to be enhanced, and more academic sentences should be employed.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The grammar of the article needs to be enhanced, and more academic sentences should be employed.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eisa Ebrahimi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Guilan University, Iran</b>