

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_115520</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Rice-based climate resilient farming practices influencing the soil physical parameters, carbon dynamics and system productivity in Inceptisols under coastal agro-ecosystem</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important to the scientific community and is a very important issue related to increasing the production and productivity of the rice crop in the face of climate change that will affect all agricultural activities.</li> <li>2. The title is largely good and reflects the contents of the manuscript.</li> <li>3. The abstract is good and the results were presented fairly well. I suggested to the researcher review the last part of the abstract to be in line with the first part and reflect the results obtained according to the order presented in the discussion</li> <li>4. the general structure and the side headings of the manuscript are well arranged and in line with the style of scientific writing</li> <li>5. The manuscript from a scientific point is correct and good and covers an important topic of scientific research</li> <li>6. The references are very sufficient and updated and cover the research excellently, with the need to review some references were clarified in the original paper in gray color</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the language is good and very appropriate and keeps pace with the level of scientific communication and the style of writing scientific papers</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The necessary to write the objectives in a clear and specific way</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Materials and methods of the researcher cited a lot of information about the experiment. This information needs to be arranged. I suggest that the information be arranged in a way that makes the manuscript clearer. The researcher talked about the soil in too much detail and did not address the production clearly</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The necessary to unify the style and format of graphs in each manuscript</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> IT is better to add recommendations to the conclusion to be the conclusion and recommendations that to be comprehensive</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Some suggestions and amendments have been added in red colour in the manuscript</li> </ul> <p>The researcher made a great effort to conduct the research and he was very successful in it</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shaker Babiker Ahmer</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan</b>