

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115323
Title of the Manuscript:	WAYS TO STIMULATE CLASSROOM PARTICIPATION FOR THE CLASS III LEARNERS AT AREKHA PRIMARY SCHOOL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will be important if the author bring some changes to the it. 2. It is suitable but I have a concern why this (III) 3. Yes, it is comprehensive 4. The author should check some research article and see how the subsections are written. 5. It is Okay, but need some corrections. I highlighted some parts. 6. Some of the references are not up-to-date. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The author should read about which tense should be used under which title or subtitle.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I did not see any citations. The author should read which tense is appropriate for which title or subtitle. It means which tense should be used in conclusion, data collection and etc.</p> <p>Important notes: (Reviewer)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract usually refers to your results and uses the past tense 2. The introduction is in the present tense. 3. In the methods sections it is customary to use a form of past tense to describe what you did in your study. Passive voice is often used as well to remove the researcher from the experiment. 4. The results section usually requires the past tense to detail the results obtained. 5. Present tense is used in the discussion section to explain the significance/implications of the results/findings. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Shah Zaki
Department, University & Country	Istinye University, Turkey