

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_115289
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC BENEFIT FROM NUTRIGARDEN TO FARMWOMEN OF WANAPARTHY DISTRICT, TELANGANA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is scientifically important, but major revisions should be made to make it easily readable and understandable. Yes, it is. However, the name of the country should be included, so that it can be known about where the study was. Yes, it is, but some problems with English writing and the use of units should be solved properly. The manuscript consists of the introduction, method, results and discussion, and inclusion. However, the method was short and was not well written. The results were also short and were not discussed with other research studies to show impacts of the study. Therefore, the author should address these issues properly and carefully. The manuscript is somewhat scientifically correct, and major modifications are needed. In terms of English writing, improvements are needed, and the unit use is not standardized. The number of references given in the text and the reference list is very small, so many more references are needed to support the statements in the study. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The level of English writing is somewhat good, but many corrections in terms of words used and grammar should be made in order to make the manuscript grammatically correct.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript should be accepted for publication with major revisions, and the authors should address the comments properly and timely, as they are given directly in the reviewed manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hin Lyhour
Department, University & Country	Cambodia